

An Analysis of the Causes of the Widening Gender Ideological Divide in Recent Years

Qiao Qiao

Headington Rye Oxford, Oxford, UK
aimeeqiao0901@gmail.com

Abstract. The gender ideological gap—the difference between men's and women's views on politics, family, and social equality—has grown markedly among young adults in recent years. Women have shifted toward more liberal positions, while men have moved increasingly toward conservatism. At first glance, that pattern runs against conventional expectations: as gender equality advances, ideological differences between the sexes might be expected to narrow, not widen. This paper examines why polarization has become stronger, particularly among Generation Z, and asks whether psychological tendencies, genuine changes in economic and educational opportunity, exposure to curated online content, or a combination of these factors are chiefly responsible. To develop that explanation, the analysis draws on survey findings, labor-market and education data, generational comparisons, and sociological theory. It finds that men's growing sense of being surpassed by women economically and educationally reflects real statistical changes. Online platforms, however, turn that competition into open hostility by selectively exposing users to content designed to generate engagement, often exaggerating and distorting the underlying conflict. Age also matters: generational differences narrow as people get older, which suggests that the sharper divide today is linked to conditions specific to younger cohorts raised with digital media. Women's attempts to claim power through provocative self-representation can have an unintended effect as well, reinforcing perceptions of male dominance instead of challenging them. The divide is therefore better understood as a psychological and structural problem that compounds over time, not simply as an ideological disagreement, and it carries lasting consequences for relations between the genders.

Keywords: Gender ideological gap, Zero-sum mindset, Filter bubble effect, Generation Z, Perceived threat theory

1. Introduction

Across many societies, men and women are drifting further apart in their political, social, and moral outlooks. The shift is especially clear among younger people: young women are increasingly drawn to progressive ideas, while young men are expressing stronger support for conservative and traditional values. That widening gap has drawn attention because it runs against the common assumption that men's and women's attitudes tend to converge over time. Social progress and

generational change are often cited as explanations, but neither factor on its own fully accounts for why the divide has become so visible in recent years.

What is driving the widening ideological divide between young men and women? Researchers have offered several possibilities. Some focus on differences in psychological traits; others highlight shifts in education and employment opportunities, along with the influence of social media and online communities. This paper does not reduce the issue to a single cause. Instead, it examines how these influences interact, using survey data, education and workforce statistics, and comparisons across generations to identify the main forces behind the growing gender ideological gap and consider its possible implications for society's future.

2. Psychological and media mechanisms behind the gender ideological gap

The gender ideological gap describes the differences between men and women in their beliefs and values about how society ought to function. It appears in politics and religion, but also in more abstract views, including social equality ("Gender gap", n.d.). Surveys from Western and Eastern countries in recent years have identified a striking trend: women are becoming more assertive, liberal, and even politically radicalized. That pattern challenges the conventional image of women as vulnerable and defenseless. Men, meanwhile, are moving toward conservatism, a position that often strengthens their sense of innate dignity. Women's demands for autonomy and their embrace of fluid gender roles might seem, at first, to signal a society steadily progressing beyond traditional gender stereotypes. The gap, however, may originate somewhere deeper. As women exercise greater social agency and question traditional gender hierarchies, some men may feel threatened and conclude that their only choice is to become obedient and servile. Others react angrily, or feel provoked, and advocate for an extreme form of patriarchy.

A closely related psychological concept is the zero-sum mindset. It is a cognitive bias based on the assumption that one person's gain must be someone else's loss, creating a "win-lose" view of the world [1]. Cooperation then gives way to competition, and people may perceive threats or scarcity even when resources are unlimited. The result can be needless hostility, distrust, and less willingness to help others. As the earlier argument suggests, many young men interpret women's rapid progress in education and the workplace through this zero-sum lens. They feel outcompeted and their position jeopardized. In response, some shift defensively toward conservatism, seeking to preserve traditional masculine authority. That may mean supporting family structures in which men make the decisions or opposing redistributive policies intended to advance gender equality. As further evidence, Stefanie Stantcheva and other researchers conducted a large-scale survey of U.S. residents through the Social Economics Lab at Harvard. Focusing on gender issues and zero-sum psychology, they asked respondents about wage differences between men and women. In particular, the researchers examined whether participants believed that rising wages for women were a main contributor to declining wages for men. Respondents were first told that "since the 1960s, the average wages of women have risen relative to the wages of men." They were then asked to indicate, on a 1–10 scale, which of two statements they agreed with more: "Women's wage gains have been at the expense of men's wages" or "Women's wage gains have not been at the expense of men's wages." Unexpectedly, younger respondents expressed a stronger zero-sum view, with most agreeing with the first statement [2]. The finding suggests that many young adults unconsciously approach employment through a zero-sum lens, which may deepen polarization between the genders. Men and women alike perceive one another as rivals competing for a fixed pool of resources. That divide also widens the ideological gap: women regard gaining agency as evidence of social progress, while men believe it reduces what they can achieve in the workplace.

Another factor widening the gap between gendered beliefs is the filter bubble effect. For young adults who spend a great deal of time with online media, this matters especially: social media algorithms generally reinforce existing beliefs, recommending material that users are expected to engage with or agree with [3]. A young man may keep seeing "manosphere" posts that praise traditional masculinity. Meanwhile, a young woman whose online activity suggests an interest in progressive activism may be steered toward feminist content. As this continues, each can begin to experience a different perceived reality. The result is also a dangerous feedback loop. Algorithms designed around engagement narrow users' personalized content streams, reduce their exposure to differing viewpoints, and make an exaggerated, distorted world tailored to them seem more credible. Still, people—especially teenagers going through puberty—often seek belonging and community in digital spaces. Equimundo's "State of American Men 2023" report found that two-thirds of the young men who participated said they feel "no one really knows me" [4]. That uncertainty, characteristic of teenagers who are still forming their values and understanding of the world, is what social media platforms can exploit. The manosphere, for example, takes advantage of it by flooding young people with misogynistic, radicalizing material and using extreme language to normalize violence against women. It also portrays men as victims, claiming that they are oppressed and discriminated against by misandry. This kind of interaction, especially on YouTube and TikTok, deepens echo chambers because it keeps confirming the user's sense of "reality." If it remains the main way young adults get information, their social values will become increasingly polarized, further entrenching ideological differences over gender.

3. Structural and generational dimensions of the gap

However, one major school of thought in political economy argues that history is shaped not by ideas but by material realities. From that perspective, is the "zero-sum mindset" really an "unconscious cognitive bias": engineered by an algorithm, or simply a completely logical response to real-world competition for jobs and college slots?

Although social algorithms may be a major contributor, they are not the sole reason young adults have developed beliefs at opposite ends of the ideological spectrum. Data summarized by The Chronicle of Higher Education in the U.S. shows that women consistently outnumber men in college enrollment, with the national gap growing steadily each year. Women made up 58% of all college students in 2020, 1.4% more than the figure recorded in 2019 [5]. The same pattern appears in the workplace. The World Economic Forum's Global Gender Gap Report found that women held 25.7% of top management positions globally in 2015; by 2024, that figure had risen to 28.1% [6]. Additional data also show women outpacing men in university enrollment and gaining access to high-paying roles that traditionally belonged to men. The economy's structural shift shows that women's advancement is threatening men's job opportunities and educational attainment. Young men's anxiety about being replaced by women, then, is not groundless. Still, economic displacement alone cannot account for the intense emotional hostility visible today, even if it supplies the fuel for gender polarization. Women remain underrepresented in CEO and executive positions [7], and the pace of improvement has slowed significantly, almost stopping in recent years. The economic shift sets the stage, but digital algorithms speed things up, actually sharpening antagonistic identities across society. In the process, facts are distorted, so men come to believe that committing to conservatism would resolve their unemployment crisis.

The link between age and gender gaps in ideology is especially revealing here. In 2025, Ipsos UK and the Global Institute for Women's Leadership at King's College London examined attitudes toward gender equality among Gen Z, Millennials, Gen X, and Baby Boomers. Their findings

challenge the familiar idea that younger generations uniformly support equality. On some issues, Gen Z men and women are far apart. Consider the belief that a man who stays home to care for his children is less of a man: 28% of Gen Z males agreed, compared with only 19% of females. Among Millennials, the difference was much narrower, with 25% of men and 22% of women agreeing—a gap of just three points [8]. Another question also showed much the same pattern, including, "Are men being expected to do too much to support equality?" Across the age groups, the gender gap narrows from the youngest respondents to the oldest. One possible explanation is that older generations had less exposure to social media, where rebellion against traditional gender roles can be evoked and amplified. They were also more often directed toward sharply different paths in life. Men were expected to provide financially, while women took responsibility for the home. Couples who resisted those arrangements could be mocked and isolated, creating pressure for both partners to conform, at least partly, to stereotypical gender roles. That pressure may account for the smaller gap. Younger people, on the other hand, encounter information that encourages less conventional views of marriage and of life more broadly. For instance, successful public figures and couples often describe rejecting conventional standards before they found success. That could help explain why polarization is nearing a boiling point in the mid-2020s and why it is far more prevalent among Gen Z.

4. Power & perception

Some young women attempt to reclaim power and resist infantilization, but that effort can also reinforce the underlying notion that men are the more powerful and threatening figures. Among younger people, one highly visible response to the male gaze is to turn a reversed gaze toward men. They see this as rebellion and indignation, a way of presenting themselves as agents with power. Yet when women make deliberately objectifying remarks, those comments are often dismissed as jokes or interpreted as coquettish bids for affection. The tension connects to a sociological concept called perceived threat. People judge danger not only by what someone says, but also by whether that person has the ability to make those words real [9]. From the perspective of perceived threat theory, this dynamic indicates that women generally do not possess the same statistically demonstrated capacity as men to inflict certain forms of harm, including sexual violence [10]. A woman who jokes about sexual innuendo or barbarism is often presumed to be doing nothing beyond speaking; the joke is kept at the level of words. When men make similar remarks, people are more likely to take them seriously. That contrast shows how structural power imbalances influence the reception of humor. It also supports the idea that public reactions to female liberation indirectly expose the persistence of conservatism. Men therefore continue to dismiss the 'reversed gaze' as attention-seeking instead of seeing it as an attempt to demonstrate that women are equally capable of wielding power.

Ultimately, the widening ideological gap between genders is not merely a political disagreement or a collection of statistical oddities. It signals a broader systemic crisis tied to human development and psychological security. So long as the reverse gaze remains the primary means through which females gain agency, the divide between genders is likely to keep expanding; each side may find it harder to understand the other's intentions. Males could respond by reinforcing conservatism, interpreting that gaze as evidence of female vulnerability. Females, meanwhile, may develop an inflated sense of how much progress they have actually made toward equality within institutions.. Social media's indoctrination and manipulation of extreme beliefs will also keep pushing adolescents toward idealized digital worlds that affirm their radical opinions. The younger generation may then fail to support beneficial, forward-looking social change—and could instead

move toward a fragmented future in which the vital capacity for empathy and cooperation across genders is permanently broken.

5. Conclusion

This paper has argued that the widening gender ideological gap among young adults has no single cause. It grows out of three forces working together: a zero-sum psychological mindset, real structural changes in education and employment that favor women, and algorithm-driven filter bubbles that magnify and distort those conditions. Young men's fears of displacement are not baseless. Social media, however, can turn legitimate concerns into disproportionate hostility and misogyny. At the same time, young women's efforts to assert agency, including through the "reversed gaze," may unintentionally strengthen rather than break down perceptions of male dominance. Comparisons across generations show that the gap is distinctly contemporary—sharper among Gen Z than among older cohorts, who experienced gender roles that were more rigid and less contested.

References

- [1] Fearon, P. A., & Götz, F. M. (2024). The zero-sum mindset. *National Center for Biotechnology Information*. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/39570690/>
- [2] Stantcheva, S. (2024). Beyond zero-sum thinking on gender. *American Institute for Boys and Men*. <https://aibm.org/commentary/beyond-zero-sum-thinking-on-gender/>
- [3] Golino, M. A. (2021). Algorithms in social media platforms. *Institute for Internet and the Just Society*. <https://www.internetjustsociety.org/algorithms-in-social-media-platforms>
- [4] Equimundo. (2023). *State of American men 2023: From crisis and confusion to hope*. <https://www.equimundo.org/resources/state-of-american-men/>
- [5] Nietzel, M. T. (2024). Women continue to outpace men in college enrollment and graduation. *Forbes*. <https://www.forbes.com/sites/michaelt Nietzel/2024/08/07/women-continue-to-outpace-men-in-college-enrollment-and-graduation/>
- [6] World Economic Forum. (2024). Global gender gap report 2024. <https://www.weforum.org/publications/global-gender-gap-report-2024/>
- [7] ICAEW Insights. (2025). FTSE 350 women leaders review highlights continuing progress. *ICAEW*. <https://www.icaew.com/insights/viewpoints-on-the-news/2025/mar-2025/ftse350-women-leaders-review-highlights-progress>
- [8] King's College London. (2025, March 5). Gen Z men and women most divided on gender equality, global study shows. *King's College London*. <https://www.kcl.ac.uk/news/gen-z-men-and-women-most-divided-on-gender-equality-global-study-shows>
- [9] Thompson, T. L. (2014). Sage reference - encyclopedia of health communication - perceived threat. *SAGE Knowledge*. <https://sk.sagepub.com/ency/edvol/encyclopedia-of-health-communication/chpt/perceived-threat>
- [10] Office for National Statistics. (2021). Nature of sexual assault by rape or penetration, England and Wales: Year ending March 2020. *Office for National Statistics*. <https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/crimeandjustice/articles/natureofsexualassaultbyrapeorpenetrationenglandandwales/yearendingmarch2020>