Proceedings of the 7th International Conference on Educational Innovation and Psychological Insights
DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/2026.36003

The Decline of Deep Reading Ability among University Students in the Digital Media Era: An Integrative Framework of Attention, Reading Motivation, and Cognitive Mechanisms

Hao Zhao

St Hild St Bede College, Durham University, Durham, UK
zhaohao7162@163.com

Abstract. The proliferation of short-form videos and fragmented information is profoundly reshaping contemporary reading habits. Drawing on an integrative literature review, this study analyzes the multifaceted impact of digital media on the progressive decline of deep reading abilities among higher education students. It focuses on how high-frequency environmental stimuli modify, disrupt, and ultimately damage traditional attention patterns and the underlying reading motivations, drawing on foundational research across pedagogy, cognitive psychology, and cognitive neuroscience. Findings reveal that digital environments explicitly trigger the "screen inferiority effect" and "attention residue," leading to severe attention fragmentation and elevated cognitive costs during task switching. Furthermore, the highly sophisticated variable-reward mechanisms engineered by modern digital platforms undermine an individual's intrinsic motivation to read, transitioning the primary purpose of reading from a profound quest for intellectual knowledge to a superficial pursuit of instant entertainment. Consequently, traditional linear and analytical thinking processes are superseded by superficial cognitive shortcuts. Educational interventions must prioritize advanced digital literacy, create distraction-free, deep-reading environments, and guide students to use metacognitive awareness to moderate their technology consumption.

Keywords: Deep Reading, Digital Media, Attention Span, Reading Motivation, Student Engagement

1. Introduction

Digital media technologies, especially short-video platforms, algorithmic content delivery, and interactive social media, have rapidly expanded over the past few decades, altering how people access information and interact with written texts. Compared with traditional long-form reading, which requires sustained focus, digital information is extremely fragmented, fast-paced, and sensorily intense. While this environment has greatly improved access to information, it also poses a significant challenge to attention, reading motivation, and cognitive habits. The noticeable decline of deep reading capabilities in this digital era has attracted widespread attention and sparked intense debate among educators, psychologists, and neuroscientists.

DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/2026.36003

Deep reading, widely defined as a higher-order cognitive activity, extends far beyond passive decoding or superficial comprehension. Instead, it involves intricate psychological processes, including sustained attentional focus, the integration of highly complex or contradictory information, and rigorous critical thinking. However, in an environment saturated with high-frequency, rapid information stimuli such as short videos, individuals are continuously conditioned to seek "fast feedback" and "instant gratification". As a direct consequence of this environmental conditioning, individual attention spans have shortened, making it difficult for modern students to engage in long-term, immersive, and reflective deep thinking.

Existing studies often examine deep reading in isolation or only compare print and digital media. However, a critical gap remains: there is no systematic framework that directly connects the decline in deep reading abilities among higher education students to changes in their attention patterns, reading motivations, and engagement with emerging digital platforms. By integrating literature from pedagogy, cognitive psychology, and neuroscience, this paper proposes an analytical framework to explore the psychological and physiological mechanisms behind this decline among university students, thereby offering insights for reading instruction in the digital age.

2. Definition of concepts and theoretical framework

2.1. Digital reading vs. deep reading

To construct a rigorous academic discussion, it is first necessary to precisely define and contrast the two core concepts of this study. Digital reading is a complex cognitive activity in which readers access and comprehend textual information using a range of digital devices, including desktop computers, laptops, smartphones, and dedicated e-readers [1]. The inherent media characteristics of this reading format include a highly fragmented information layout, non-linear reading pathways, frequent hyperlink jumping, and an increased sense of user control over the text. Although these technological features make it far easier and faster to retrieve vast amounts of information, they also subject readers to a constant stream of external distractions, such as push notifications and algorithmically driven content recommendations. Consequently, the digital reading process is frequently and abruptly interrupted, making it difficult for readers to sustain immersion.

In contrast, deep reading is a higher-order cognitive process encompassing sustained attentional focus, complex information synthesis, critical and evaluative thinking, active meaning construction, and cross-domain knowledge transfer. According to foundational research, deep reading emphasizes the connectivity and creativity of the reading act. This format strictly requires readers to engage in deep, reflective contemplation, maintain undivided focus for extended periods, and critically reflect on the text's underlying arguments to successfully internalize and creatively apply that knowledge. Therefore, digital reading fundamentally conditions users toward shallow, rapid scanning and non-linear skipping, whereas deep reading strictly demands a significant cognitive investment, deliberate metacognitive regulation, and sustained focus.2.2 Analytical Framework

To address academic fragmentation across attention science, motivational psychology, and cognitive neuroscience, this paper proposes a three-dimensional integrated framework to organize the mechanism behind this decline. This perspective conceptualizes the decline of deep reading as a self-reinforcing closed loop composed of three interconnected dimensions:

First, from the attention perspective, high-frequency and intense stimuli from digital media shorten individual attention spans and induce frequent task-switching. This constant fragmentation of focus creates a psychological state known as "attention residue," which directly reduces overall task engagement and occupies valuable cognitive resources. Second, from the motivation

DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/2026.36003

perspective, when attention becomes increasingly difficult to sustain, individuals naturally turn to entertaining, low-effort content that offers immediate psychological gratification. This trend gradually weakens their intrinsic reading motivation, shifting it away from intellectual curiosity toward extrinsic, utilitarian motives, which drastically reduces the frequency of deep reading behaviors. Third, from the cognitive perspective, long-term exposure to shallow information processing effectively trains the human brain to rely heavily on cognitive shortcuts. This over-reliance causes critical thinking skills and effortful analytical processing to steadily decline, making it even more difficult to maintain sustained attention in the future. Ultimately, these three distinct elements create a self-reinforcing loop where increasingly fragmented attention systematically erodes intrinsic reading motivation; weakened motivation leads directly to fewer deep reading experiences; and a reduction in deep reading experiences makes it harder for the brain to maintain its higher-order cognitive capacities [2-4].

3. Attention patterns and digital reading

3.1. Media multitasking and attention residue

Media multitasking has become a defining characteristic of contemporary university students' daily lives and learning habits. In a seminal empirical study, Ophir et al. discovered that individuals who frequently engage in heavy media multitasking perform significantly worse on standard cognitive control tests: they struggle immensely to filter out irrelevant environmental information and are far more vulnerable to distractions from external stimuli [2]. Further research suggests that long-term, continuous exposure to multitasking environments may cause functional and structural changes in the prefrontal cortex, the primary brain region responsible for high-level executive functions, thereby lowering an individual's capacity to stay focused [5]. Through carefully controlled experimental designs, Bowman et al. demonstrated that even brief and temporary task-switching, such as checking instant messages while reading an academic text, significantly lowers both reading comprehension accuracy and overall reading efficiency [6].

Task-switching does more than cause temporary distraction; it also creates a lingering psychological phenomenon termed "attention residue." This phenomenon occurs when a portion of an individual's cognitive focus remains tightly fixed on a previously performed task, thereby continuously occupying valuable working memory and cognitive resources, which drastically reduces processing efficiency on the current task [3]. In typical digital reading environments, readers constantly switch between the core text, incoming notifications, and social messages, leaving behind a fresh layer of attention residue with each switch. This constant depletion of working memory explains why students often feel cognitively exhausted even after reading only a few pages of online text .

3.2. Attention differences: digital vs. print reading

Comparative media studies demonstrate that the medium of reading influences how well readers maintain focus and how deeply they process text. In a behavioral experiment, Mangen et al. asked participants to read the same narrative text in either a traditional print format or on a digital computer screen; students who read the physical print version were significantly better at understanding text structure and reconstructing chronological order [7]. Delgado et al. further confirmed this pattern through a comprehensive meta-analysis, concluding that screen reading

DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/2026.36003

generally results in poorer comprehension outcomes than print reading, a phenomenon known as the "screen inferiority effect", especially under strict time pressure [8].

Furthermore, eye-tracking and neuroscience research provide physiological evidence for this pattern. Using eye-tracking technology, Jian discovered that readers exhibit shorter fixation durations and far fewer regressions (backward eye movements) when reading on digital screens, demonstrating that screen processing is inherently faster and more superficial [9]. Similarly, Froud et al. used Event-Related Potentials (ERPs) to record and analyze the N400 brain wave component, revealing that print reading conditions elicit a stronger neural response associated with deep semantic processing compared to digital reading [10]. By continuously encouraging multitasking and causing chronic attention residue, digital media fundamentally alters individual attention patterns and shortens focus spans.

4. Reading motivation and digital reading

4.1. The weakening of intrinsic motivation

Reading motivation serves as the primary psychological engine that drives individuals to actively engage with texts, directly shaping their choice of reading duration, intensity, and depth. Intrinsic motivation refers to the internal drive to engage in an activity out of enjoyment, personal curiosity, or an inherent desire for knowledge. Students choose to read simply because they find the process intellectually fulfilling, rather than for a mandatory assignment or an external grade. Guthrie and Wigfield highlighted a powerful positive feedback cycle between intrinsic motivation and deep reading: strong intrinsic motivation naturally leads to immersive reading, which, in turn, deepens a student's long-term love for literature and learning [4].

However, the digital media environment disrupts this positive cycle. Through continuous interaction with digital platforms, readers become heavily dependent on fast feedback loops and instant gratification, which creates psychological resistance toward deep reading tasks that take longer. Instead, individual reading behavior is shifting dramatically toward entertainment or purely instrumental and utilitarian goals (such as passing exams or completing homework) [11]. This shift not only weakens students' willingness to immerse themselves in complex academic texts but also reduces the overall frequency of deep reading [12].

4.2. The decline in academic text engagement

Engaging with academic texts is a core part of deep reading in higher education, requiring sustained focus, rigorous critical thinking, and the integration of complex information. Yet numerous descriptive surveys show that many modern university students now read only titles, abstracts, or key bullet points rather than the full text, demonstrating a clear trend toward non-linear, "skipping" reading.

Several factors contribute to this decline in engagement. First, academic texts are conceptually dense, highly abstract, and logically complex, requiring deep cognitive processing times that clash directly with the fast, superficial scanning habits built in digital environments. Second, reduced attention control and frequent task-switching fragment the reading process, making long texts feel overwhelming. Interestingly, data reveals that many undergraduate students still express a strong personal preference for print materials when completing high-workload academic tasks. This preference suggests that screen reading has inherent limits in supporting deep academic work,

DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/2026.36003

proving that motivational changes do not occur in isolation but interact with fragmented attention to drive the decline of deep reading.

5. Cognitive mechanisms and neural foundations

5.1. Neuro-reward mechanisms and dual-system processing

The negative impact of digital media extends far beyond behavioral patterns, reaching deep into human neural physiology. The human brain relies heavily on an evolutionarily ancient, dopamine-driven reward system that releases dopamine when exposed to novel environmental stimuli. Modern short-video platforms and social networks exploit this neural pathway by leveraging rapid content changes and sophisticated variable-reward designs, shifting dopamine release patterns from expecting a long-term reward to receiving an immediate reward. When exposed to these high-frequency, instant rewards over time, the brain's reward system undergoes a neuroplastic shift. Crucially, neurological areas such as the prefrontal cortex, which support high-level cognitive control, are negatively affected, lowering a person's psychological tolerance for delayed gratification.

This neurological shift provides a physiological explanation for why students struggle to maintain the cognitive investment needed for deep reading. Daniel Kahneman's foundational dual-system cognitive theory offers a useful framework for understanding this transition: System 1 is fast, intuitive, and largely automatic, while System 2 is slow, analytical, effortful, and requires deliberate cognitive energy. Deep reading relies heavily on System 2 for meaning construction and critical reflection [13]. However, contemporary digital media environments heavily reinforce System 1's rapid, reactive processing. Faced with information overload, individuals naturally use cognitive shortcuts to save mental energy. As these shallow processing habits are repeatedly performed and wired into the brain, critical thinking and complex information integration skills steadily decline. As Nicholas Carr pointed out, the internet rewires our thinking patterns, replacing a linear approach with a scattered one [14]. Maryanne Wolf similarly warns that reading is not an innate human instinct. Instead, the human brain must build dedicated neural circuits for reading through practice; when reading is replaced by shallow consumption, these neural paths weaken [12].

5.2. Neurological stages and metacognitive monitoring deficits

From a cognitive neuroscience perspective, Liu and Yuan modeled the digital reading process into four sequential stages: attention attraction, identification focus, associative reasoning, and learning construction [15]. They emphasize that while tools such as fMRI and eye-tracking provide established methods for studying these stages, there is little systematic research on sensory interactions and functional connectivity.

Furthermore, Ackerman and Lauterman analyzed how screens and print differ under time pressure from a metacognitive perspective [16]. Their empirical results show that screen reading often leads to poor metacognitive monitoring and the "overconfidence effect," in which readers believe they have understood the text perfectly when they have retained only superficial keywords. This lack of accurate self-monitoring severely undermines both the depth and accuracy of reading comprehension. In general, through high-frequency rewards, shallow processing strategies, and changes in neuroplasticity, the digital media environment profoundly rewires cognitive processing, culminating in a systemic decline in students' deep reading abilities.

DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/2026.36003

6. Discussion

6.1. The interaction of attention, motivation, and cognitive mechanisms

The core finding of this study is that the decline of deep reading among undergraduates does not stem from a single factor. Instead, it stems from a dynamic, self-reinforcing interaction between attention patterns, reading motivation, and underlying cognitive mechanisms. Attention fragmentation serves as a starting point, where high-frequency environmental stimuli and constant task-switching cause chronic attention residue, preventing readers from entering a state of immersive reading flow. This attentional difficulty weakens intrinsic motivation, shifting students from active knowledge-seeking to passive entertainment and reducing academic engagement. This motivational decline reinforces shallow cognitive habits, making the brain rely on System 1 processing while System 2 and critical thinking decay.

These three elements form a vicious cycle that leads to a systemic decline in deep reading ability. This finding aligns with Liu and Yuan's digital reading framework, explaining why single-factor interventions often fail [15]. However, as a literature review, this study relies on existing data and does not include new empirical testing, which is a key limitation [17]. Future studies should use longitudinal designs and brain imaging to test these causal relationships and develop practical interventions for university students.

6.2. Implications for educational practice

Based on these findings, educational interventions must move beyond simple fixes and adopt a multi-dimensional strategy to help students rebuild deep reading habits within digital environments. First, educators must focus heavily on developing students' digital literacy and metacognitive awareness. Students need to understand how different media affect their cognitive processing to actively choose the right tools. For academic texts that require deep comprehension, print or distraction-free, static screens should be prioritized.

At the same time, universities and families must work together to rebuild environments that support deep reading. This includes setting aside reading periods without distractions, reducing multitasking, and gradually increasing the proportion of long-form texts so that students can enjoy deep, immersive reading. Linking deep reading closely to critical thinking is vital. By organizing discussions, essays, and reflections around classic academic texts, educators can guide students to evaluate evidence and follow logical arguments. Finally, educational practices should use technology's strengths. Tools like focus apps and the dedicated "monochrome focus modes" on e-readers can help students block distractions, helping them regain active control and metacognitive agency over their devices.

7. Conclusion

This study demonstrates that the digital media environment is profoundly reshaping individual reading behavior across multiple dimensions, leading to a gradual decline in deep reading ability through the interaction among attention patterns, reading motivation, and cognitive mechanisms. At the attention level, high-frequency stimuli increase fragmentation, and the "attention residue" caused by media multitasking drains cognitive resources. At the motivational level, platform algorithms offer instant gratification, shifting reading from active knowledge-seeking to passive entertainment

DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/2026.36003

consumption. At the cognitive level, neuroplasticity allows the brain to adapt by relying on cognitive shortcuts, which suppresses slow, deep thinking and reinforces shallow cognitive habits.

To address these challenges, future educational interventions should focus on building digital literacy and metacognitive awareness, and on helping students rebuild environments and habits that support deep reading. Because this study is limited to a synthesis of existing literature, future research should implement longitudinal tracking and neuroimaging technologies to examine how different media alter brain activation patterns and to test the real-world effectiveness of targeted classroom interventions.

References

[1] Wu, J. (2017). *Research on digital deep reading of university students* [Master's thesis, Jiangsu Normal University].
[2] Ophir, E., Nass, C., & Wagner, A. D. (2009). Cognitive control in media multitaskers. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 106*(37), 15583–15587. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0903620106
[3] Bailey, B. P., & Konstan, J. A. (2006). On the need for attention-aware systems: Measuring effects of interruption on task performance, error rate, and affective state. *Computers in Human Behavior, 22*(4), 685–708.
[4] Guthrie, J. T., & Wigfield, A. (2000). Engagement and motivation in reading. In M. L. Kamil, P. B. Mosenthal, P. D. Pearson, & R. Barr, *Handbook of reading research (Vol. 3, pp. 403–422)*. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
[5] Uncapher, M. R., & Wagner, A. D. (2018). Minds and brains of media multitaskers: Current findings and future directions. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 115*(40), 9889–9896.
[6] Bowman, L. L., Levine, L. E., Waite, B. M., & Gendron, M. (2010). Can students really multitask? An experimental study of instant messaging while reading. *Computers & Education, 54*(4), 927-931.
[7] Mangen, A., Walgermo, B. R., & Brønnick, K. (2013). Reading linear texts on paper versus computer screen: Effects on reading comprehension. *International Journal of Educational Research, 58*, 61–68.
[8] Delgado, P., Vargas, C., Ackerman, R., & Salmerón, L. (2018). Don't throw away your printed books: A meta-analysis on the effects of reading media on reading comprehension. *Educational Research Review, 25*, 23–38.
[9] Jian, Y. C. (2022). Reading in print versus digital media uses different cognitive strategies: Evidence from eye movements during science-text reading. *Reading and Writing, 35*(7), 1549–1568. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11145-021-10234-4
[10] Froud, K., Levinson, L., Maddox, C., & Smith, P. (2024). Middle-schoolers' reading and lexical-semantic processing depth in response to digital and print media: An N400 study. *PLOS ONE, 19*(5), Article e0290807.
[11] Alter, A. (2017). *Irresistible: The rise of addictive technology and the business of keeping us hooked*. Penguin Press.
[12] Wolf, M. (2018). *Reader, come home: The reading brain in a digital world*. HarperCollins.
[13] Kahneman, D. (2011). *Thinking, fast and slow*. Farrar, Straus and Giroux.
[14] Carr, N. (2010). *The shallows: What the Internet is doing to our brains*. W. W. Norton & Company.
[15] Liu, Y. P., & Yuan, X. Q. (2023). Research progress on cognitive mechanisms of digital reading from the perspective of cognitive neuroscience. *Documentation, Information and Knowledge, 40*(6), 129–139.
[16] Ackerman, R., & Lauterman, T. (2012). Taking reading comprehension exams on screen or on paper? A metacognitive analysis of learning texts under time pressure. *Computers in Human Behavior, 28*(5), 1816–1828.
[17] Haynes, T. (2018, May 1). Dopamine, smartphones & you: A battle for your time. *Science in the News*. https://sitn.hms.harvard.edu/flash/2018/dopamine-smartphones-battle-time/