

The Centennial Evolution of Concepts in Football Physical Training

Yiyue Lei

Football Academy, Xi'an Physical Education University, Xi'an, China
121902561@qq.com

Abstract. With the continuous acceleration of modern football match rhythms and increasing tactical complexity, athletes' physical performance has become a critical determinant of competitive excellence. However, traditional training methods such as "track-style running" prove inadequate for meeting the demands of specialized training loads. This paper systematically examines the century-long evolution of football fitness training concepts from the late 19th century to the present, focusing on the characteristics and underlying logic of key phases including early "track-style" training, the introduction of interval training, the rise of small-field matches, GPS data-driven approaches, and contemporary applications of artificial intelligence and personalized training load management. The study aims to elucidate the driving forces behind these conceptual transformations across different periods and provide historical insights for optimizing modern football fitness training practices. Through descriptive literature reviews and historical analysis incorporating materials from sports science journals and biographical sources, the research synthesizes and compares the developmental trajectory of training philosophies. Findings reveal a fundamental shift in football fitness training concepts—from "general endurance" to "specialized training loads"—driven by four synergistic factors: technological advancements, rule modifications, tactical innovations, and interdisciplinary integration. The conclusions emphasize that scientific rigor, precision, and individualization in training methodologies represent inevitable trends in historical development, offering valuable theoretical references for coaches and researchers.

Keywords: Football, Physical Training, Specialization

1. Introduction

With continuous technological advancements and deepening understanding of athletic performance, football players' physical training is evolving toward greater precision and sport-specific adaptation. Training methodologies have increasingly moved beyond traditional track-style approaches. Early football fitness programs primarily focused on enhancing overall endurance and developing sustained aerobic capacity. However, in today's fast-paced matches characterized by frequent physical confrontations and complex tactical structures, this generalized approach proves inadequate for high-intensity competition demands. Football inherently features intermittent activity patterns and explosive power requirements; elite players must maintain stable aerobic metabolism while

relying on rapid recovery mechanisms and efficient anaerobic energy production. The conventional track-style oriented training system has gradually revealed limitations in adaptability. Although research in this field has proliferated in recent years, systematic analysis of the evolution of football fitness training concepts remains scarce. This study traces the developmental trajectory of the discipline, reconstructs its conceptual progression through literature review and historical context analysis, establishing a clear chronological framework. Its value lies in providing coaches and researchers with an evolutionary roadmap to understand how specialized football fitness training philosophies adapt to practical needs, while offering theoretical foundations for future methodological innovations.

2. Formation and exploration of early concepts in football physical fitness

2.1. Early football physical fitness awareness and track-style training

During the early stages of football development (from the late 19th century to the 1980s), coaches often believed that simply being able to run was sufficient, viewing athletes' physical development merely as cultivating conventional endurance. This mindset led to the direct adoption of track-style training methods into football practice without adaptation, resulting in a repetitive cycle of "players running laps, stretching, leg stretches, and technical drills" at training sessions. The training content was highly monotonous and unengaging, failing to foster physical adaptability and yielding suboptimal outcomes [1].

The traditional track-style physical training methodology was primarily adopted in the initial phase of football development, when match intensity was relatively low, tactical approaches were simple, and sports science had not yet established a systematic training framework. Training plans largely relied on coach's long-term intuitive judgment. As match rhythms accelerated and tactical systems became increasingly complex, this training approach centered solely on general physical conditioning gradually proved inadequate for competitive demands. Lintao Hu emphasized that training philosophy directly influences overall athletic practice development and serves as a critical reference for designing training programs. Yizhou Shui's team conducted a bibliometric analysis of 698 foreign studies on football physical training from 1985 to recent years using CiteSpace, revealing an evolutionary trajectory in research progress: starting from initial explorations, advancements progressed toward deeper specialization until achieving integrated optimization. A notable shortcoming in early studies was the insufficient recognition of football-specific physiological requirements [2, 3].

2.2. Breakthroughs in exercise physiology and the introduction of interval training

During the mid-20th century, the field of exercise physiology witnessed a pivotal leap forward, with the development and evolution of interval training theory emerging as its most defining milestone.

When the University of Freiburg initiated collaborative research in the 1950s, German cardiologists and coaches jointly developed an interval training framework. The core principle of this framework involves heart rate regulation: setting exercise intensity within the range of 170-180 beats per minute, then gradually reducing the heart rate to 100-125 beats per minute through intervals, before commencing the next training session after the recovery phase. This rhythmic pattern was later termed the "Geschler-Reindell Law" by the academic community. This approach physiologically maintains the body in a prolonged state of dynamic non-recovery, creating periodic alternations between load and recovery that induce sustained stress responses and structural

adaptations in the cardiopulmonary system, ultimately leading to progressive improvement in cardiovascular function [4].

Czech long-distance running legend Emil Zátopek revolutionized the development of endurance sports by employing a training regimen that alternated high-intensity sprints with thorough recovery periods. At the 1952 Helsinki Olympics, he claimed gold medals in the 5000 meters, 10000 meters, and marathon events, a groundbreaking achievement that drew widespread attention from the global sports science community and spurred in-depth research into cyclical training strategies.

The integration of interval training principles into football practice has transformed training structures from relying solely on cumulative distance to emphasizing precise management of intensity distribution and recovery cycles. By incorporating heart rate into the load assessment system, this approach provides a quantifiable scientific framework for dynamic load monitoring in subsequent physical training programs.

2.3. Innovative training practices in European football

In the 1970s, European football nations such as Germany and the Netherlands were among the first to integrate interval training principles into practical training systems, transforming this concept from a theoretical framework into actionable practice applications.

Influenced by the philosophies of Rinus Michels and Johan Cruyff, Dutch football has developed a total football model that emphasizes full team participation and dynamic transitions. This approach imposes higher demands on players' continuous movement endurance and rapid recovery capabilities following high-intensity play. To address these challenges, training programs now incorporate frequent directional changes and sudden stops with quick turns, redefining traditional circuit running drills as physical conditioning exercises closely aligned with tactical execution rhythms.

In the 1970s, a form of five-a-side football emerged in Germany. Initially designed to help professional players maintain and restore physical fitness during the pre-season adaptation period while conducting technical and tactical drills, this format was conducted in confined spaces with limited player numbers. It combined high-intensity interval training with tactical coordination from actual football matches, transforming previously monotonous physical exertion into challenging, goal-specific interactive activities. Yanpeng Hu noted that traditional training methods lacked appeal and failed to motivate participants; however, the introduction of this new training structure broke away from rigid content constraints, enhancing both the diversity and practical effectiveness of training [1].

From the late 19th century through the 1980s, the paradigm for physical conditioning in football players underwent significant transformation: training requirements evolved from merely maintaining basic running capabilities to adopting a load-building approach characterized by periodic high-intensity intervals. The implementation methods shifted from simple long-distance jogging to integrated strategies incorporating real-time heart rate feedback and sport-specific characteristics, with theoretical foundations moving beyond individual intuitive judgments toward systematic explanations of exercise physiological mechanisms. This paradigm shift provided a consistent technical framework and practical basis for training design in small field settings post-1990, as well as for dynamic monitoring systems utilizing Navigation Satellite Timing and Ranging Global Position System (GPS) data.

3. Transformation of modern football physical training concepts

3.1. Small-field training and position-specific physical fitness

By the 1990s, football physical training began shifting from emphasizing overall physical fitness to focusing on sport specific capabilities. A key manifestation of this transformation was the widespread adoption and integration of small field competitive matches into daily training programs. These matches, conducted under conditions of reduced playing space, fewer participants, and adjusted rules, enhanced athletes' dynamic movement skills while enabling simultaneous technical execution and tactical decision-making in realistic scenarios. Through continuous variable-speed running, players executed passing, defensive actions, and positional transitions, with physical demands closely mirroring those of actual competition. Compared to the single cycle "loop running" format, small field matches induced more representative physiological stress responses. The Rampinini team conducted a systematic analysis of heart rate fluctuations and blood lactate accumulation across different field sizes and player configurations, demonstrating that sustained competition in confined spaces rapidly brings athletes' physiological parameters closer to real match load levels [5].

Players across different positions exhibit significant variations in total movement distance throughout the game, movement frequency during high-intensity phases, and explosive sprinting behavior. This phenomenon has driven training strategies to shift from a globally synchronized approach toward position-specific customization. Di Salvo et al., utilizing a large-scale dataset from the Premier League, identified structural differences in movement distances and short duration burst frequencies among wingers, midfield organizers, and defensive barriers under high intensity conditions, providing empirical evidence for targeted endurance and explosive power training tailored to each position. By leveraging dynamic trajectory data and real time motion capture during matches, coaching teams can develop load models that closely replicate actual competitive scenarios, ensuring training interventions are grounded not in assumptions but in real world performance contexts [6].

3.2. GPS and data-driven precision training

By the early 21st century, wearable devices began to emerge, transforming the paradigm of physical training for football players. For instance, using Global Positioning System (GPS) technology, key load parameters such as running trajectories, instantaneous speeds, and dynamic accelerations could be continuously and accurately measured. With this data, coaching teams could dynamically monitor individual athletes' physical conditions and accordingly adjust training rhythms and intensity allocations. A systematic analysis conducted by Cummins et al. demonstrated that this technology exhibits consistent efficacy and reliability in training load modeling, athlete performance tracking, and potential injury prediction [7].

The dynamic relationship between training load and physiological stress has become a pivotal breakthrough in digital transformation. Establishing personalized load-response maps based on individual athletes' physiological feedback enables coaches to detect early signs of fatigue accumulation or potential injuries, allowing for optimization of training cycles accordingly. Gabbett's ACWR model, one of the most influential analytical frameworks in this field, demonstrates a strong correlation between sudden load increases and non-contact sports injuries, providing a practical basis for load regulation interventions aimed at preventing injuries. Recovery is no longer merely a supplementary component of training but is systematically integrated into the

training structure; biological signals such as heart rate variability are utilized to assess the body's self-regulatory capacity and autonomic nervous system function, thereby achieving precise alignment between training intensity and recovery progression [8].

3.3. AI and personalized load regulation

As artificial intelligence and machine learning become increasingly integrated into football training, physical conditioning programs are evolving from a coarse management approach to dynamic adjustment mechanisms. Load prediction and injury early-warning systems driven by big data combine GPS data, real time heart rate variations, and athletes' self-reported fatigue indices, utilizing machine learning models to predict potential injury risks. While data-driven training approaches have demonstrated significant value, their implementation requires careful consideration of specific on-field contexts. Artificial intelligence should serve as a reference tool for coaches rather than a decisive factor in decision-making processes.

As women's football physical training moves away from traditional male football approaches, women-specific hormonal fluctuations, muscle distribution patterns, and injury susceptibility have become significant biological variables. As the relationships between cyclical physiological changes, competitive performance, and injury risks become increasingly clear, training programs now incorporate dynamic adaptation mechanisms tailored to menstrual phases. While artificial intelligence has demonstrated some success in high-level football applications, practical implementation still faces challenges, such as incomplete data collection, insufficient transparency in model decision-making processes, and cognitive biases among coaches.

4. Conclusion

Tracing the evolution of football physical training concepts over the past century reveals four distinct phases in the development of athlete fitness approaches. In the initial stage, continuous running from track and field sports served as the primary method, directly employing middle and long-distance running techniques. This reflects early practical understandings of physical conditioning. With significant advancements in exercise physiology, interval training systems were established, enabling systematic management of training intensity and recovery cycles. Training innovations implemented by top European clubs under real-game conditions further demonstrated the feasibility and adaptability of interval training for football-specific demands.

As modern training systems continue to evolve, athletic scenarios are shifting from monotonous drills toward intelligent decision-making frameworks. The widespread adoption of compact competitions has integrated physical conditioning into authentic tactical environments, fostering dynamic synergy between technical movements and physical capabilities while deepening our understanding of athletes' spatial-physical characteristics, all driving training programs toward individualized adaptation. With the extensive use of GPS-enabled wearable devices, real-time data-driven training control systems have been established, enabling effective load management and sports injury early-warning mechanisms. The integration of artificial intelligence and machine learning technologies further optimizes workload distribution pathways, allowing for highly precise adjustments to personalized training intensity.

The evolution of physical training paradigms stems from a combination of factors including technological breakthroughs, iterative rule refinements, tactical restructuring, and interdisciplinary knowledge convergence. Each technological advancement expands new dimensions of training possibilities at the cognitive level. Dynamic rule modifications continuously reshape physical

performance standards, while reconfigured tactical frameworks directly influence training priorities. Interdisciplinary collaboration provides the theoretical foundation for systematizing training methodologies. This study did not employ statistical software such as CiteSpace, resulting in insufficient data support for analyzing development trends and research hotspots in football physical training. Additionally, no comparative analysis was conducted between training philosophies across different countries during the same period, which somewhat limits the paper's interpretative scope. Future research could utilize tools like CiteSpace or VOSviewer to conduct systematic quantitative analyses of football physical training, providing measurable evidence for conceptual evolution.

References

- [1] Yanpeng Hu. A Brief Discussion on the Evolution and Understanding of Physical Fitness in Football [J]. Sports, 2018(10).
- [2] Lintao Hu. A theoretical exploration of the training philosophy in Chinese football [D]. Chengdu: Chengdu Sport University, 2013.
- [3] Yizhou Shui, Zhuhan Huang, Jianhua Geng. Frontier Trends and Evolutionary Analysis of Physical Training in International Football – A Visualization Study Based on Scientific Knowledge Graphs [J]. Sports Science, 2016, 36(1): 67–78.
- [4] Woldemar Gerschler: Inventor of interval training and the man who made the Germans run [C]//CRiC 2025. Book of Abstracts: Beyond Boundaries: Innovating Sports Coaching through Interdisciplinary Collaboration. Oslo: The Norwegian School of Sport Sciences, 2025.
- [5] Rampinini E, Impellizzeri F M, Castagna C, Rampinini E, Impellizzeri F M, Castagna C, et al. Factors influencing physiological responses to small-sided soccer games [J]. Journal of Sports Sciences, 2007, 25(6): 659-666.
- [6] Di Salvo V, Baron R, Tschan H, Di Salvo V, Baron R, Tschan H, et al. Performance characteristics according to playing position in elite soccer [J]. International Journal of Sports Medicine, 2007, 28(3): 222-227.
- [7] Cummins C, Orr R, O'Connor H, et al. Global positioning systems (GPS) and microtechnology sensors in team sports: a systematic review [J]. Sports Medicine, 2013, 43(10): 1025-1042.
- [8] Gabbett T J. The training—injury prevention paradox: should athletes be training smarter and harder? [J]. British Journal of Sports Medicine, 2016, 50(5): 273-280.