Proceedings of the 6th International Conference on Educational Innovation and Psychological Insights
DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/2025.ND34370

Navigating the Double-Edged Sword: Social Media, Social Comparison, and Adolescent Mental Health

Wenming Li[1*], Zilian Huang[2]

[1]Jining Confucius School, Jinning, China
[2]Jiangyin No.1 Middle School, Jiangyin, China
**Corresponding Author. Email: 17660158087@163.com*

Abstract. In the digital era, social media has become an integral part of adolescents' lives, offering both opportunities and risks for mental health. This paper systematically examines the dual impact of social media on adolescent mental health, focusing on social comparison. Theoretical frameworks such as the Risk and Resilience Factors Framework and Social Comparison Theory are employed to analyze how upward, downward, and lateral comparisons influence self-esteem, emotional regulation, and interpersonal trust. Empirical studies highlight significant risks, including increased depressive symptoms, anxiety, and social exclusion, particularly among heavy users and vulnerable groups like girls and less popular teens. Conversely, social media also fosters resilience through social support networks, creative expression, and access to educational resources. Methodological limitations, such as cultural biases in samples and reliance on self-reported data, are discussed, alongside future research directions emphasizing cross-cultural studies, longitudinal designs, and intervention strategies. The findings underscore the need for balanced guidance from parents, educators, and policymakers to mitigate risks while harnessing the benefits of social media for adolescent development.

Keywords: social media, adolescent mental health, social comparison, intervention strategies

1. Introduction

In the digital era, global social media usage is expanding irreversibly, with statistics showing that global users have nearly tripled in the past decade. Adolescents aged 13-19 form the core user group, driving the largest increment with high platform activity. This phenomenon stems from 4G/5G coverage, smartphone popularization among youth, and social media platforms' algorithm recommendations and real-time interaction functions, which enhance user stickiness by capturing adolescents' social needs and emotional preferences. Today, social media is deeply embedded in their daily lives-from classroom discussions to after-school sharing, birthday wishes to social issue participation-becoming central to building relationships, accessing information, and shaping self-cognition.

Adolescents have more to gain on social media than they have ever had. Virtual communities allow them to bond with others in different corners of the world beyond geographic and identity-bound constraints, finding an identity in a niche. Confidential chats and community support on these

DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/2025.ND34370

sites can be emotional shields against academic pressure or emotional turbulence. Access to infinite information from anywhere on the globe broadens awareness and promotes interest in public affairs through online voting and debates, leading to a new sense of civic awareness.

However, being technologically empowered comes with dangers: adolescent mental via anonymity might lead to long-term psychological damage; "perfect life" customs provoke social comparison and self-denial; overexposed personal information is at risk of being abused; information bubbles created by algorithms and frequent notifications exacerbate information overload, sleep deprivation, and addiction.

Adolescent life is a sensitive phase of self-identity, control of emotions, and relationship patterns, and it is characterized by a special focus on physical and psychological development. Their mental well-being could be influenced by the potential threat brought about by social media. Research has shown that adolescents using social media more than 3 hours per day are 2.3 times more likely to report symptoms of depression than moderate users, and in children who have been excluded online, there is more likely to be chronic anxiety and social avoidance. So they are both threatened by social media and part of an ecosystem in which this interacts, causing their thought disorder.

In contrast, systematically understanding how social media influences adolescents' levels of self-esteem, emotional regulation, and interpersonal trust, differentiating the impacts of the patterns to use (active creating vs. passive browsing); and identifying protective factors, such as family upbringing and digital literacy, has theoretical value. This paper considers fundamental theories and findings around social media's impacts on adolescent mental health, followed by consideration of targeted interventions and research implications.

2. Theoretical framework

2.1. The influencing factors of adolescent development

Adolescence is a critical period of physical, cognitive, and socio-emotional development, shaped by multiple interrelated factors. These include family environment, schooling, peer relationships, mental health, and-increasingly in modern society-social media use. Understanding these correlates is important for facilitating ideal adolescent development.

2.1.1. Family environment

It is in the family that adolescents are raised. Parenting styles, socioeconomic status, and the quality of the parent-child relationship are most important as far as emotional and behavioral outcomes are concerned. When children are raised in loving, secure homes, they are able to grow with healthy, positive self-esteem and self-discipline; a lack of nurturing and excessive demands produces children with anxiety, depression, and rebellion. Studies have found that open communication between parents and adolescents is related to a low risk of psychological harm.

2.1.2. Schooling and education

School is the venerable locus of socialization and education. Teacher support, peer relationships, and academic stress are associated with the mental well-being of adolescents. When healthy, the school climate is motivating and provides a sense of belonging; when it is competitive and/or filled with bullying, that can lead to disengagement and upset.

DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/2025.ND34370

2.1.3. Peer relationships

Parents take the lead in childhood. In adolescence, friends' impact supersedes parental influence. Ethnicity and conduct, such as friendships, social acceptance, and peer pressure, affect identity and behavior. Peer relations: The influence of positive peer relations that provide emotional support and endorse pro-social attitudes, and the negative relations or social withdrawal that may also increase at-risk behavior (e.g., substance abuse).

2.1.4. Mental health

It's also a time of high susceptibility to mental health issues such as depression, anxiety, and low self-esteem. Adaptive is to be centered on emotional regulation, coping, and self-efficacy. Psychologically healthy adolescents perform better academically and socially, and continued mental health problems may lead to chronic concerns.

2.1.5. Social media use

Digital platforms are now a primary place of adolescent sociability-though there is a digital downside. Moderate use can facilitate connection and learning, but heavy use can lead to addiction, body-image problems, cyberbullying, and sleep deprivation. Spending more than 2 hours a day on social media is linked to a higher probability of depression.

2.1.6. Summary

Emotional factors, family, school, and peers are more or less haphazardly tied up during adolescence. In the age of the internet, social media poses new challenges that require parents, teachers, and policymakers to get educated in layers for our children to become balanced each day!

2.2. A conceptual framework of risk and resilience factors

Social media and adolescent social support: therapy for youth who are marginalized. There is so much complexity for adolescents in social the danger and hope for tremendous growth of resilience. On the riskier side of things, adolescent mental along, encouraged by the culture of anonymity and leading, in some cases, to actual emotional hurt, putting its victims at higher risk for depression and even suicide. There is also the constant social comparison, which can negatively impact self-esteem on curated platforms, and then the digital addiction and sleep deprivation brought on by overuse, which simultaneously affects mental health. Today, the risk of exploitation is magnified by threats to privacy (data breaches, online grooming). Algorithms can also turbocharge harmful material, from misinformation to extremism, shaping impressionable minds in dangerous ways.

At the same time, social media could foster resilience by affording stronger social support networks, particularly for marginalized groups such as LGBTQ+ adolescents or those with social anxiety. The platforms are creative expression outlets in which teens can express art, writing, or advocacy work, all while building confidence. The learning experience is enriched beyond just a classroom with educational assets and a worldview. Online communities and crisis de-escalation represent lifelines for those who are unwilling to seek help in a non-virtual context. Maintaining this balance between the positive and negative effects of the algorithm requires an all-hands endeavor: on the one hand, parents and schools must teach kids digital literacy; companies should limit the flow of harmful content, and the adolescents themselves could be more mindful when using it. By

DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/2025.ND34370

reducing the harms and capitalizing on opportunities to enhance resilience, society can help to ensure that teenagers can survive the risks and reach the rewards offered by social media safely.

2.3. Social-comparison theory

Their use of social media through social comparison is high when kids look at themselves and compare themselves with others, and variable to determine their psychological responses as adolescents, including depending on the direction in which they compare themselves and whether they are looking up or down or in a negative or positive way. Social comparison standards and affect: Their role in the contrast and assimilation effects.

2.3.1. Up comparison (e.g., making comparisons to someone better off)

When teenagers compare their behind-the-scenes reality with peers (or some hot Instagrammer or jock or beauty queen) they regard as better looking, more successful, or socially connected, they may:

The lower: perceived shortcomings, envy, self-depreciation ("Why can't I do/have/experience that?").

Anxiety & Depression: Being bombarded by idealized existence (filtered pictures, highlight-reel moments) fuels rumination over one's life, which leads to unhappiness and dissatisfaction. Studies that link higher social media use to more depressed symptomatology are available [1].

Imposter Syndrome: High achievers may experience imposter syndrome when comparing themselves to exaggerated online personae.

2.3.2. Diminishings (comparison with lower others)

Then, in spring, we compare ourselves to others we view as less unfortunate.

Temporal Superiority: Temporary boost in self-esteem ("I am still better than them, right").

Guilt or Empathy: Some young people may pity others or have empathy in them, while others may demonize those of marginalized identities (e.g., laughing about cringe content).

Complacency: Rely on downward comparisons too much and perhaps you won't reach your potential ("I'm doing ok compared to them").

Guilt or Compassion: Some teens feel empathy, while others may "dehumanize'marginalized groups (e.g., mocking "cringe" content).

Complacency: Over-reliance on downward comparisons may stunt personal growth ("I'm doing fine compared to them").

2.3.3. Lateral comparisons (peer benchmarking)

Comparing to similar peers (e.g., classmates) often elicits:

Competitive Stress: Pressure to match others' milestones (grades, relationships).

FOMO (Fear of Missing Out): Seeing peers at social events exacerbates loneliness.

2.3.4. The role of algorithmic bias

Social media "amplifies extreme comparisons" by promoting polarizing content (ultra-successful or "fail" posts). This skews teens' perceptions of normality.

Mitigating Harmful Comparisons:

DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/2025.ND34370

Curate Feeds: Follow diverse, realistic accounts.
Digital Literacy: *Teach* teens that social media is a "highlight reel", not reality.
Mindfulness Practices: Encourage self-reflection to reduce automatic comparison.

2.3.5. Summary

Social comparisons on social media act as a "double-edged sword": while occasional downward comparisons may offer relief, pervasive upward comparisons erode mental health. Interventions should focus on fostering "self-compassion" and critical media consumption.

3. Literature review

Based on the theoretical foundation and introduction mentioned above, this article provides a comprehensive review of relevant research based on some literature

Consensus exists that social comparison impacts mental states, yet debates remain on its specific effects and moderators. For instance, the Relationship Between Adolescents' Technology-based Social Comparison, Feedback-seeking and Depressive Symptoms, and the Moderating Factors shows stronger correlations for girls and less popular teens, while other studies explore different angles.

3.1. Cyberbullying

adolescent mental health is repetitive, intentional harm via electronic tools. Smith et al. [2] surveyed 2,760 UK adolescents (11–19), finding 10.6% incidence and depression links—an early study but UK-limited. Hinduja & Patchin [3] studied 3,700 US middle schoolers, noting 15% victimization and stronger suicidal ideation than traditional bullying, with unclear measurement validity. Slonje & Smith [4] interviewed 14–18-year-olds in Sweden/UK, highlighting diverse forms but a small sample. A systematic review (36 studies, 11 databases) found 23.0% median prevalence, linked to depression (girls are more vulnerable), yet high heterogeneity and US-biased samples complicated causality. All confirm its harm, but methods (questionnaires vs. interviews) and samples (regional biases) differ, with the review uniquely noting heterogeneity.

3.2. Social exclusion

Social exclusion means rejection/blocking on social media. Williams [5] used the "Cyberball" experiment on college students, showing short-term exclusion causes emotional pain-rigorous but sample-limited. Gerber & Wheeler [6] combined questionnaires/experiments on all ages, finding long-term exclusion boosts aggressiveness-broader sample but less authentic scenarios. A resilience-focused study on adversity-exposed youth linked exclusion to psychological issues, offering a multidisciplinary framework but lacking original data. All agree on negative impacts, with differences in methods (experiments/questionnaires vs. retrospective analysis) and diverse samples.

3.3. Self-identity distress

Self-identity distress is self-cognition confusion. A study tracked 219 US college freshmen (avg. 18.29, 74% female), finding that ability comparison worsens distress via rumination-longitudinal but small and self-report-reliant. Erikson [7] proposed that adolescents face identity crises due to social influences-theoretically, lacking empirical data. Schwartz et al. [8] surveyed US middle schoolers,

DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/2025.ND34370

finding heavy social media use links to diffuse identity-mature tools but no mechanism exploration. Studies highlight social environment ties, with approaches varying: empirical (social comparison), theoretical (Erikson), or classification-based (Schwartz), sampling freshmen/middle schoolers.

3.4. Interpersonal sensitivity

Interpersonal sensitivity is excessive attention to others' behaviors, causing anxiety. Leary [9] used a scale on college students, finding high-sensitive individuals are anxious-reliable but sample-limited. Alden & Bieling [10] experimented on community adults, linking sensitivity to social anxiety-real scenarios but wide age range. A social media-focused study found frequent users (over-focusing on evaluations) are more sensitive-contextualized but simple, self-report-reliant, and lacking longitudinal data. All find links to negative emotions, with methods differing: scales (Leary), experiments (Alden), or social media-based tests (adolescents, simple measurements).

3.5. Social comparison on social media

A study of 697 middle schoolers found social networking site use intensity correlates with social comparison, both reducing self-concept clarity (social comparison mediates 29.57% of the effect)-rigorous but cross-sectional, not distinguishing behaviors. Tracking 619 8–9th graders, another study found technology-based comparison/feedback-seeking (e.g., popularity) correlates with depressive symptoms-stronger for girls/less popular teens, but self-report-reliant and platform-blind. Studies also explored ability vs. opinion comparison impacts on identity distress, or links to "possible self-image" (ideal vs. feared self). One study (n = 152, 11–18) found no correlation but noted girls' fear of self in relationships-small sample, self-report-reliant. A study using Social Comparison Theory found social media reduces well-being via upward comparison-youth are vulnerable but lacks original data and cultural considerations.

3.6. Relationship between social comparison and mental states

Measurement methods (correlation analysis, regression, structural equation models) found social comparison reduces self-concept clarity, correlates with depression, and varies by comparison type. Conclusion differences stem from research objects, comparison classifications, and measured states (e.g., small-sample studies finding no correlation). Consensus: social comparison impacts mental states; controversies: impact direction, degree, and moderators (e.g., stronger effects for girls/less popular teens).

3.7. Methodological considerations

Studies use qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods. Quantitative research (questionnaires, experiments) enables statistical analysis but risks self-report bias. Samples are Western-centered, lacking ethnic/geographic representativeness. Small samples limit generalizability; large samples still face regional/cultural biases. Data collection relies on self-reports (prone to bias), social media content mining (platform-access limited), or experiments (scenario authenticity issues). Analysis methods (statistical, content, network analysis) have trade-offs: statistical analysis quantifies but oversimplifies; content analysis captures context but is subjective; network analysis maps interactions but ignores individual differences.

DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/2025.ND34370

3.8. Gaps and future directions

Most samples focus on Western adolescents, lacking cross-cultural diversity. Self-report data risks response bias. Short-term studies can't capture long-term mental health impacts. Few studies explore platform-specific differences (e.g., Instagram vs. TikTok) or cultural influences on social comparison/cyberbullying. Future research should conduct cross-cultural comparisons, launch long-term longitudinal studies, explore platform-specific effects, develop culturally sensitive interventions, and improve measurement tools (e.g., combining behavioral data with self-reports) to reduce bias.

3.9. Summary

Social media significantly impacts adolescents' mental health, with opportunities (social connection) and risks (cyberbullying, exclusion). Research confirms key risks but faces limitations in samples, methods, and cultural coverage. Addressing these gaps through cross-cultural, longitudinal studies and improved methodologies will better protect adolescents in the digital age.

4. Methodological considerations in the field

4.1. Methodology

4.1.1. Qualitative studies

Small samples (N=20-50) of Western students dominate qualitative work. Interviews and content analysis provide depth but suffer from social desirability bias and limited generalizability.

4.1.2. Quantitative studies

Larger surveys (N=1,000+) reveal patterns but remain Western-centric. While statistical modeling identifies correlations and network analysis maps information flow, these methods often overlook cultural nuances and lack causal evidence.

4.1.3. Mixed-methods research

Combining approaches (e.g., fMRI with interviews) offers comprehensive insights but requires significant resources. Integration of qualitative and quantitative data remains challenging.

4.2. Key limitations

(1). Sampling biases in both small (generalizability) and large (cultural diversity) studies

(2). Self-report inaccuracies and digital data gaps

(3). Analytical oversimplification in statistical models

4.3. Conclusion

This review highlights how methodological choices shape our understanding of selective exposure while identifying critical gaps in current research. Mixed-methods approaches show particular

DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/2025.ND34370

promise for advancing the field.

5. Gaps and future directions

5.1. Research limitations

(1). Reliance on secondary data; lack of experimental studies; limited focus on cultural or individual differences in SNS impacts.

(2). Small sample size and reliance on self-reported data.

(3). Causal inferences are limited by short study duration.

(4). Cultural differences and other identity-related moderators (e.g., self-esteem) were unexamined.

(5). Reliance on self-reported data risks bias; long-term effects and real-world applications are understudied; cultural influences on selective exposure remain underexplored.

(6). Lack of longitudinal studies to establish causality; limited coverage of emerging platforms and their unique effects.

5.2. Future research on selective exposure should prioritize:

(1). Cross-cultural samples beyond WEIRD populations to enhance generalizability;

(2). Multimethod approaches combining neuroscientific measures (e.g., fMRI), behavioral tracking, and self-reports to overcome single-method biases;

(3). Longitudinal designs to examine dynamic exposure patterns over time;

(4). Computational methods like NLP for large-scale media content analysis; and

(5). Intervention studies testing practical solutions to mitigate filter bubble effects. Researchers should particularly focus on developing ecologically valid paradigms that capture real-world media consumption while maintaining experimental control.

6. Conclusion

A growing body of research demonstrates a significant negative association between social media use and subjective well-being (SWB), primarily mediated through upward social comparisons and self-discrepancy mechanisms. Adolescents emerge as a particularly vulnerable demographic due to their developmental susceptibility and heightened sensitivity to addictive platform designs. While the duration of social media use and self-esteem levels show no significant impact on the balance of possible selves, notable gender differences persist, with female users reporting substantially more interpersonal-related feared selves than their male counterparts. The research further delineates distinct psychological pathways for different comparison types: ability-based comparisons exacerbate identity distress through rumination pathways, whereas opinion-based comparisons, while stimulating reflection, fail to provide meaningful distress alleviation. These findings underscore the critical role of comparison typology in understanding social media's psychological impacts and highlight how platform algorithms (e.g., those emphasizing peer achievements) may inadvertently amplify the detrimental effects of ability comparisons. From an intervention perspective, the results advocate for targeted media literacy programs for adolescents focusing on social comparison awareness, along with platform design reforms to mitigate addictive features. Clinically, the findings warrant special attention to how social media environments may intensify interpersonal anxieties, particularly among female users.

DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/2025.ND34370

References

[1] Fardouly, J., et al. (2015). "Social comparisons on social media: The impact of Facebook on young women's body image concerns and mood."
[2] Smith, P. K., et al. (2008). Cyberbullying: Its nature and impact in secondary school pupils. Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry.
[3] Hinduja, S., & Patchin, J. W. (2010). Bullying, cyberbullying, and suicide. Journal of School Health.
[4] Slonje, R., & Smith, P. K. (2008). Cyberbullying: Another main type of bullying? Scandinavian Journal of Psychology.
[5] Williams, K. D. (2007). Ostracism. Annual Review of Psychology.
[6] Gerber, J., & Wheeler, L. (2009). On being rejected: A meta-analysis of experimental research on rejection. Perspectives on Psychological Science.
[7] Erikson, E. H. (1968). Identity: Youth and Crisis. W.W. Norton & Company.
[8] Schwartz, S. J., et al. (2005). Identity development in adolescence. Handbook of Adolescent Psychology.
[9] Leary, M. R. (1983). A brief version of the Fear of Negative Evaluation Scale. Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin.
[10] Alden, L. E., & Bieling, P. J. (1998). Interpersonal processes in social phobia. Cognitive Behaviour Therapy.