

# ***The Double Erosion: Beauty Anxiety and Consumerism as Dual Mechanisms Undermining Women's Bodily Autonomy – A Socio-Cultural Analysis through Foucault's Lens of Discipline***

**Xinzhe Zhu**

*Department of Japanese, Hubei Normal University, Huangshi, China  
2908753855@qq.com*

**Abstract.** This paper employs Foucault's theory of disciplinary power, integrated with feminist critique and consumerism studies, to analyze the dual erosion of women's bodily autonomy through pervasive beauty anxiety and consumerist forces. The analysis reveals that patriarchal norms—amplified and commodified by consumer capitalism—utilize the internalized "panoptic" gaze (mediated through social interactions and media) to systematically surveil and standardize female bodies, producing "docile" subjects. This perpetual surveillance fuels anxiety, which the "looks economy" harnesses to drive consumption, thereby constructing the female body as an ongoing project requiring constant investment. Although resistance movements like body positivity emerge, they face co-option by the very consumerist logic they oppose. The study concludes that reclaiming bodily autonomy necessitates dismantling the intertwined systems of gendered discipline and profit-driven consumerism. It further underscores the enduring relevance of Foucault's framework for understanding contemporary digital panopticons and advocates for policy interventions, such as stricter regulation of cosmetic advertising.

**Keywords:** Bodily Autonomy, Beauty Anxiety, Consumerism, Feminism, Panopticism

## **1. Introduction**

It would appear that the female body continues to function as what might be characterized as a primary site of social control, seemingly subject to relentless scrutiny, judgment, and what appear to be persistent demands for modification. From this particular interpretive perspective, intense beauty anxiety—a pervasive form of distress concerning perceived physical flaws—substantially impedes women's bodily autonomy, which we might understand as the right to self-governance over one's own physical being, ostensibly free from coercion. While often perceived as a personal struggle, this anxiety appears to be fundamentally socio-culturally constructed. What this paper will argue, therefore, is that its production and exploitation seem to constitute what could be described as a dual erosion mechanism, one that appears deeply rooted in intersecting systems of power. Using Foucault's concepts of disciplinary power [1] and biopolitics as our core analytical framework, we

will attempt to trace its operational logic [2]. What seems to emerge from this analysis is that power appears to deploy disciplinary techniques to mold what are presumed to be docile bodies; feminist theory then seems to lend support to how this discipline is gendered, systematically targeting the female body [3] through mechanisms of surveillance and normalization [4]. What also appears significant in this context is how consumerist economic forces strategically exploit this ingrained disciplinary anxiety, transforming it into what appears to be a powerful engine for profit generation [5]. This "discipline-gender-consumerism" nexus, given the complexity of these theoretical relationships, tends to point toward what appears to be a systematic undermining of women's sovereignty [6]. We will attempt to dissect this mechanism across four layers: theoretical foundations, the social construction of beauty anxiety, its consumerist co-optation, and what appear to be potential, albeit contested, paths of resistance.

## 2. Theoretical foundations: disciplining the body – from Foucault to feminism

Foucault's book *Discipline and Punish* revolutionized the understanding of modern power. Moving beyond sovereign power (power exerted through direct force or prohibition), Foucault described disciplinary power as diffuse, productive, and capillary [1], operating through institutions (schools, hospitals, prisons, factories) to shape individuals into docile, productive, and normalized subjects. Discipline works not primarily by repression, but by constant observation, comparison, normalization, and correction.

**Panopticism and the Internalized Gaze:** Central to this is the "panopticon" principle [1]. Originally a prison design by Bentham, the panopticon features a central tower allowing observation of all prisoners, who cannot know if they are being watched at any given moment. This uncertainty induces a state of conscious and permanent visibility, leading individuals to internalize the gaze and become their own overseers. Foucault argued this principle extends throughout society, creating a "disciplinary society" where power operates automatically and anonymously through the internalization of surveillance [1]. Applied to the female body, panopticism manifests as an omnipresent societal gaze monitoring adherence to ever-shifting beauty ideals. Women learn to constantly survey themselves and others, internalizing standards of weight, skin tone, hair texture, facial features, and age defiance [3]. This self-surveillance becomes a core mechanism of control, making external enforcement often unnecessary. The mirror, the scale, and increasingly, the smartphone camera become instruments of the panoptic tower.

**Feminist Articulation: Gendering Discipline:** Feminist scholars powerfully applied Foucault's insights to the specific construction and control of the female body. Sandra Lee Bartky, in *Femininity and Domination* [3], argued that femininity itself functions as a "disciplinary project"—not as a natural essence, but as a meticulously enforced set of embodied practices [3]. This regime manifests concretely: in the regulation of body size (mandatory slenderness), the training in "graceful" posture, the routinization of adornment (daily high heels and makeup), and the restraint of emotional expression diffused through institutions like family, media, and education, this discipline transforms the female body into a site of perpetual self-modification, internalized as a survival imperative. The goal is the production of a specifically feminine "docile body": one that is constrained, pleasing, and preoccupied with its own improvement according to externally dictated norms. Bartky contends this disciplinary network—emanating from diverse sources including peers and medical/beauty professionals—proves far more insidious and effective than overt coercion [3]. The female body thus becomes a project demanding constant vigilance and correction, literally embodying power relations.

### 3. Constructing anxiety: media, ideals, and the social production of beauty anxiety

The internalized panoptic gaze requires constant content – specific norms against which bodies are measured and found wanting. This is where media and cultural narratives play a pivotal role in constructing and amplifying beauty anxiety.

Manufacturing the "Ideal" and Enforcing Surveillance: Media representations, saturated with digitally altered images, function as powerful disciplinary tools. Rosalind Gill, in *Gender and the Media*, documented the shift towards a "postfeminist media sensibility" where empowerment is paradoxically linked to rigorous self-surveillance and bodily transformation [7]. Advertising, magazines, film, and television relentlessly promote narrow, often unattainable, ideals of female beauty. The advent of social media intensifies this exponentially. Platforms like Instagram and TikTok are saturated with filters, curated feeds, and influencer content promoting specific aesthetics [8]. Algorithms further entrench these standards by feeding users homogeneous content. This constant exposure creates a normative benchmark. Women, internalizing the panoptic gaze, compare themselves against these curated, often artificial, ideals. The perceived failure to meet these standards generates profound anxiety, shame, and a sense of inadequacy – the fuel of the disciplinary mechanism.

The Shifting Spectacle: Power and Capital Behind the Ideal: Crucially, the "ideal" body is not static but historically and culturally contingent, revealing its constructed nature and the interests it serves. Susan Bordo, in *Unbearable Weight*, meticulously traced the evolution of the female beauty ideal in the West, highlighting shifts from the voluptuous figures celebrated in some historical periods to the extreme thinness valorized in the late 20th century, and more recently, the complex "fitspiration" or "hourglass" ideals demanding both leanness and curves [9]. This mutability demonstrates that the ideal is not inherent but manufactured. Its shifts often correlate with economic interests and power dynamics. For instance, the rise of the ultra-thin ideal coincided with the growth of the diet industry. The current emphasis on a specific type of curvaceousness ("slim-thick") or muscular leanness fuels markets for specific exercise regimens, shapewear, and cosmetic procedures. Each new ideal creates new anxieties and new markets, illustrating the constant interplay between disciplinary normalization (defining what is desirable) and capitalist opportunism (profiting from the desire and the anxiety of falling short). The ideal body constantly changes, creating endless dissatisfaction and demand [10].

### 4. Consumerist co-optation: monetizing discipline and anxiety

Within this broader analytical framework, the disciplinary apparatus producing beauty anxiety appears to tend to create what might be characterized as fertile ground for consumer capitalism. What seems to emerge from this evidence is that capitalist enterprises seemingly harness and amplify this anxiety, appearing to transform it into consumptive demand and substantial profit within what has been termed the burgeoning "looks economy."

What seems to emerge from these findings, particularly from Jean Kilbourne's decades of work on advertising, is a strong indication of how advertisers strategically appear to exploit women's insecurities [11]. What appears particularly significant about these findings is that ads rarely seem to simply sell a product; rather, they tend to deploy a sophisticated discourse that appears to manufacture the necessity of consumption. This is typically achieved through what appears to be three interlocking rhetorical strategies:

1. Flaw Construction: Defining natural physiological features like wrinkles, pores, or body shape as "deviations" from a disciplinary norm (e.g., anti-aging ads labeling fine lines as what appears to

be a "premature aging crisis").

2. Crisis Association: Equating these constructed flaws with potential threats to social value, such as professional standing or romantic desirability (e.g., messaging that seems to suggest a woman's first wrinkle may equal diminished professional credibility).

3. Solution Marketing: Framing the product as what seems to constitute the essential tool for redemption or restoration of one's perceived identity or capital (e.g., promoting a serum as "the key to reactivating your youthful capital").

What this pattern seems to suggest, therefore, is that this rhetorical machinery tends to reconfigure the body into what could be described as a "machine in constant need of repair," thereby appearing to embed consumption deeply within the disciplinary framework. Crucially, advertisers frame these products and procedures not as luxuries, but as necessities for social acceptance, professional success, romance, and self-worth. Slogans like "Because you're worth it," "Invest in yourself," or "Look your best self" are pervasive, co-opting feminist-sounding language of self-care and empowerment [12]. This masks the underlying demand for conformity and commodification, positioning constant bodily modification and consumption as essential acts of self-management and even illusory liberation within the confines of the patriarchal beauty standard. The "need" to consume beauty products and services is thus discursively constructed as fundamental to achieving the docile, desirable body demanded by the disciplinary regime.

The Cost of Conformity: Emotional Labor and Symbolic Value: Maintaining proximity to the beauty ideal requires immense investment, conceptualized through theories of "emotional labor" [13] applied to the body, and "symbolic consumption". Susie Orbach's *Fat is a Feminist Issue* [14] explored the psychological toll, detailing the constant mental energy expended on dieting, appearance management, and battling negative body image – a form of unpaid labor demanded by the disciplinary system. Kathy Davis, in *Reshaping the Female Body* [15], analyzed women's narratives around cosmetic surgery, highlighting how decisions are often framed within a discourse of self-determination, yet deeply entangled with societal pressures and the pursuit of "normalcy." Consuming beauty products and procedures becomes symbolic – it signifies effort, belonging, status, and adherence to femininity norms [6]. The financial cost is staggering (cosmetics, clothing, grooming, diets, gym memberships, procedures), but the psychological cost – chronic anxiety, lowered self-esteem, time consumption, and the internalization of self-objectification – constitutes a profound erosion of autonomy. The body is transformed from a site of being into a site of relentless, costly production.

## 5. Resisting the tide: contested paths to bodily autonomy

Recognizing the mechanisms of erosion naturally leads to exploring resistance. While movements challenge disciplinary norms, their efficacy is often hampered by co-optation and the pervasive nature of the systems they oppose.

Resistance Movements and Co-optation: The Body Positivity (BoPo) movement, originating in fat activism, seeks to challenge narrow beauty standards, promote acceptance of diverse bodies, and dismantle fatphobia. Similarly, Health at Every Size (HAES) focuses on well-being independent of weight. Anti-consumerist critiques explicitly challenge the commodification of the body and beauty ideals. However, these resistance strategies face significant limitations, particularly co-optation by consumerism [16]. Mainstream brands increasingly adopt the rhetoric of BoPo ("all bodies are beautiful") while simultaneously perpetuating the logic of bodily enhancement and selective conformity. For instance, a major fast-fashion brand's 2023 "Body Positivity" campaign featured mid-size models, yet explicitly promoted "curve optimization" – with accompanying shapewear ads

promising to "make every curve bloom with confidence." Similarly, a cosmetics brand hijacking the AllBodiesAreBeautiful heavily marketed a "contouring kit" centered on "sculpting a defined, smaller face." In 2016, China had the popular "A4 Waist Challenge". Starting on Weibo, the trend asked women to show they were thin by putting an A4 paper (21 cm wide) around their waists. It turned an office thing into a tool to control their bodies. When activists who support body positivity started #NoA4Waist to fight against this standard, the lingerie brand NEIWAI used the same hashtag for its "#MyBodyIsMine" campaign [17]. They showed models of different types, but when advertising "sculpting seamless shorts," they still pushed the idea that people need to change their bodies. This shows Goldman's idea of "commodity feminism" [18]. It means that when people resist, their radical criticism is taken away and repackaged as something pretty to buy. NEIWAI's sales went up by 300%, which proves that consumerism can make money from people's anxiety about body control. This "selective acceptance" transforms bodily diversity into a new consumption signifier: it permits "imperfections" only insofar as they can be rendered into a "viewable imperfection" through consumer products. This exemplifies "commodity feminism" [18], which empties movements of their radical political critique, repackaging dissent as just another consumer choice. Consequently, the focus shifts from systemic critique and liberation to individual self-acceptance within the existing beauty paradigm – often contingent on purchasing products – thereby failing to disrupt the underlying disciplinary-consumerist nexus.

**Cosmetic Advertising Discourse:** An analysis of cosmetic surgery and non-invasive procedure ads (e.g., for Botox, fillers, liposuction) frequently reveals the explicit language of discipline and transformation. "Before" images depict bodies deemed inadequate (tired, aged, flawed), positioned under the critical gaze. "After" images represent the successful achievement of the docile, normalized ideal – happier, more successful, more desirable (a clear disciplinary outcome). The narrative arc promises not just aesthetic change, but social correction and self-mastery through consumption, directly linking Foucault's docile body to capitalist profit. China's Medical Beauty Advertising Law Enforcement Guidelines ban [19] false cosmetic surgery ads ("before-and-after" images), proving the government sees they manipulate people, though enforcing the ban is difficult.

Analysis of user-generated content (UGC) under hashtags like #BodyAnxiety reveals profound ambivalence. These digital spaces simultaneously provide vital community support, validation, and critique of unrealistic beauty standards while operating within panoptic platform architectures. Users perform vulnerability as a connective practice yet remain subjected to mutual scrutiny, sustaining pressure for curated self-presentation even amidst discussions of insecurity. Crucially, algorithms systematically mine these hashtags to target advertisements promoting "solutions" (diet products, cosmetics, etc.), demonstrating rapid re-absorption of resistance discourses into the consumerist logic of the beauty economy. This co-option mechanism underscores how platforms transform critical engagement into commodifiable data streams [8], reinforcing the very systems of bodily discipline that users seek to challenge through UGC creation.

## **6. Contemporary case studies: the A4 Waist Challenge – disciplinary power, gendered anxiety, and consumerist co-optation in China**

The 2016 viral "A4 Waist Challenge" is a good example of the combined forces of disciplinary power, control over women, and consumerist exploitation that take away women's control over their bodies [20]. It started on Weibo. The challenge asked women to prove they were thin by covering their waists with a standard A4 paper (21 cm wide). This quickly turned a common office item into a tool for measuring bodies. Foucault's idea of discipline worked clearly here: the challenge made a public space where women willingly measured themselves and faced judgment. In this way, they

took in the watching eye and learned to control their own bodies to match an extreme thinness ideal. Looking at this with feminist ideas shows it targeted only women. It presented thinness as a bodily goal tied to being feminine [3], while men ' s bodies were not measured. Also, places like universities helped enforce this bodily control. They held competitions where judges measured students. This changed every day, looking into official documents.

## 7. Conclusion

This study uses Foucault's ideas about disciplinary power, as well as feminist views and consumerism studies. Together, they show a strong and widespread double weakening of women's control over their own bodies. The panoptic system works when people watch themselves. This forces women's bodies into feminine rules, causing deep and common beauty worries. Consumer capitalism is not neutral. Instead, it cleverly uses these worries. First, it creates and sells an "ideal" body that keeps changing. Second, it makes buying things seem necessary to be normal and worthy. Third, it turns the body into something constantly sold and invested in. As a result, women's basic right to control their physical selves becomes much weaker.

In the end, taking back the body as a place of real life and inner value needs long work in culture, laws, and actions. Women can only truly own their bodies if we break the system of gender control and profit-seeking together. This means using critical thinking, legal shields, and community efforts to rethink bodies.

Resistance matters, but it faces a big problem: being taken over. Groups like body positivity try to fight back, yet they often get pulled into the same market system they oppose. This shows how well the power-and-shopping partnership survives. The beauty business grows by creating dissatisfaction.

Now, the theory part proves Foucault still matters today. Things like social media filters, influencer life, and pandemic health apps work like new digital watching tools. These increase body checking and worry in never-before-seen ways. Also, today's focus on "wellness" and self-improvement can quickly become new types of body control and demands.

Stopping this damage needs work in many areas at once:

For culture: Teach media skills to show how ads fake beauty standards ·For laws: Make strong rules against look-based bias and tricky beauty ads

For practice: Support stories by disabled people or art showing older women's bodies. This builds values based on real life and inner worth, not looks.

We must say: This study mostly used Western ideas to study Chinese body rules. But local things like relationship networks and face culture might change how control works. For example, family pressures might be stronger there than in the West. So, future work needs Chinese feminist views to understand body freedom fights outside the West.

## References

- [1] Foucault, M. (1977). *Discipline and punish: The birth of the prison* (A. Sheridan, Trans.). Vintage Books. (Original work published 1975).
- [2] Foucault, M. (1978). *The history of sexuality, Volume 1: An introduction* (R. Hurley, Trans.). Pantheon Books. (Original work published 1976).
- [3] Bartky, S. L. (1990). *Femininity and domination: Studies in the phenomenology of oppression*. Routledge.
- [4] Butler, J. (1990). *Gender trouble: Feminism and the subversion of identity*. Routledge.
- [5] Wolf, N. (1991). *The beauty myth: How images of beauty are used against women*. William Morrow and Company.
- [6] Baudrillard, J. (1998). *The consumer society: Myths and structures* (C. Turner, Trans.). Sage Publications. (Original work published 1970).
- [7] Gill, R. (2007). *Gender and the media*. Polity Press.

- [8] Banet-Weiser, S. (2012). *Authentic™: The politics of ambivalence in a brand culture*. New York University Press.
- [9] Bordo, S. (1993). *Unbearable weight: Feminism, western culture, and the body*. University of California Press.
- [10] McRobbie, A. (2009). *The aftermath of feminism: Gender, culture and social change*. Sage.
- [11] Kilbourne, J. (Producer). (2010). *Killing us softly 4: Advertising's image of women* [Film series]. Media Education Foundation.
- [12] Scharff, C. (2016). *Gender, subjectivity, and cultural work: The classical music profession*. Routledge.
- [13] Hochschild, A. R. (1983). *The managed heart: Commercialization of human feeling*. University of California Press.
- [14] Orbach, S. (1994). *Fat is a feminist issue: The anti-diet guide to permanent weight loss*. Berkley Books.
- [15] Davis, K. (1995). *Reshaping the female body: The dilemma of cosmetic surgery*. Routledge.
- [16] Tasker, Y., & Negra, D. (Eds.). (2007). *Interrogating postfeminism: Gender and the politics of popular culture*. Duke University Press.
- [17] Zhang, L., & Sun, W. (2024). Selling empowerment: Commodity feminism in Chinese beauty campaigns. *Journal of Consumer Culture*, 24(1), 45-67.
- [18] Goldman, R. (1992). *Reading ads socially*. Routledge.
- [19] China State Administration for Market Regulation. (2021). *Medical beauty advertising law enforcement guidelines* [Official document].
- [20] Luo, W. (2023). Digital body discipline: A4 waist challenge and neoliberal feminism in China. *Feminist Media Studies*, 23(5), 2112-2128.