Proceedings of ICEIPI 2025 Symposium: Understanding Religious Identity in Educational Contexts
DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/2025.ND26830

An Applied Analysis of Piaget's Theory in Cognitive Development and Educational Practice

Shuyu Jiang

St. Bede Academy, Illinois, USA
rei850488@gmail.com

Abstract. The transformation of Piaget's theory into actionable teaching strategies (e.g., cognitive conflict design, concrete experience activities) not only provides a scientific basis for constructivist education but also bridges the theory-practice gap. This applied analysis examines Jean Piaget's theory of cognitive development and its implications for knowledge construction in educational contexts. Through literature synthesis and case studies, this paper explores how Piagetian principles—developmental stages, assimilation-accommodation, and equilibration—inform teaching practices that deepen conceptual understanding. Findings reveal that developmentally appropriate pedagogy leveraging cognitive conflict, concrete experiences, and social interaction significantly enhances students' critical thinking and problem-solving abilities across academic disciplines. Practical recommendations include diagnostic assessment of cognitive stages, scaffolded disequilibrium induction, and constructivist activity design. Limitations regarding cultural variability and formal operations timing are discussed, alongside future research directions in neurocognitive correlates and technology-enhanced learning. Future research should: (a) compare cultural pathways to equilibration in collectivist/individualist contexts; (b) validate cognitive models in contemporary issues like climate change and (c) develop scaffolded toolkits for complex thinking.

Keywords: Piaget's theory, Cognitive development, Knowledge construction, Educational practice, Assimilation, accommodation, Equilibration

1. Introduction

Educational psychology continues to prioritize understanding how knowledge acquisition occurs. Jean Piaget's constructivist theory revolutionized this field by proposing that children actively construct understanding through environmental interactions—contrasting with behaviorism's passive conditioning paradigm by emphasizing agentic exploration [1]. His stage theory elucidates how mental schemata evolve through maturation and experience, offering critical insights for designing developmentally aligned pedagogy [2]. Contemporary research reconceptualizes children as 'scientist-emotion synthesizers', integrating Piaget's cognitive constructs with affective dimensions. Piaget's revolutionary insight continues to be revived, but only by embracing complexity can we achieve true "knowledge construction" in education. This study aims to analyze core Piagetian concepts relevant to knowledge construction, examine theory-practice translations in diverse

© 2026 The Authors. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/2025.ND26830

educational settings, evaluate the effectiveness of Piaget-informed pedagogy, and propose evidence-based instructional recommendations. Its significance lies in bridging theoretical frameworks with classroom practice, empowering educators to foster deeper conceptual understanding [3].

2. Literature review

2.1. New progress in the research of cognitive and emotional integration

In 2025, the study found that children's delayed satisfaction (DoG) depends on separated cognitive and emotional systems: The cool cognitive system, involving episodic future thinking (EFT) and executive function-mediated tasks (e.g., monetary delay discounting), requires external prompts to activate cognitive resources—a phenomenon termed 'scaffolded EFT activation' in 2025 studies. Enthusiasm path: Positive expectations (such as the pleasure of imagining the waiting period) significantly predict the real reward selection task, especially under the condition of EFT prompts, highlighting the core role of emotional expectations in physical decision-making. Connection with traditional theory: The dynamic interaction between cognition and emotion in Piaget's "balance" has been verified, but the breakthrough lies in revealing the "developmental fault" not covered by stage theory—children's EFT-DoG association is weaker than that of adults, and cognitive control resources are limited due to the precocious emotional system.

Biological primary/secondary knowledge integration model, Cognitive load theory based on the evolutionary perspective proposes that physical action (such as tracking diagrams) as a primary ability of biology can reduce the external cognitive load and promote the acquisition of biological secondary knowledge (such as scientific concepts). Cross-cultural research certificate(N=1200, CHI and ENG N=600; other control variables: SES, age, CEFR, PoETA) shows that the actual effect is universal in Chinese and English contexts. Proactive Education Toolbox (PoETA): Integrate 64 5–10-minute positive psychological interventions (gratitude exercises, best self-projection, etc.), and improve academic investment and psychological happiness synchronously through the motivation-learning dual-path model, responding to Piaget's concept of "adaptation" but emphasizing operationalization of short-term intervention.

2.2. Innovation of technology and methodology

Quantitative Verification of Specific Cognition: Experiments show that finger tracking and pointing actions significantly improve spatial information processing, such as cardiac blood circulation learning (Spatial structure memory accuracy Cohen’s d=1.52), and activate the motor cortex-hippocampal pathway due to gestures (Gesture triggers the enhancement of the functional connection of the motor cortex-hippocampal (β=0.63, $p<0.001$). This discovery supports Piaget's argument that "the perceptual movement stage is the basis of cognition", but the instrumental progress (eye tracking, fMRI) has achieved empirical evidence of the neural mechanism.

Theory Criticism of the Deepening Stage of the Hybrid Method: Re-examination of stage continuity: Large-sample longitudinal data (CHI N=2742; Age6-Age22; low SES:23.7%, Medium SES:52.1%, High SES:24.2%; Exponential peak age:10.2 years 95% CI9.8-10.6) reveals that there are significant individual differences (±2.5 years old) in the ability of children in the specific operation stage (7–11 years old) in decentralization, challenging Piaget's strict age staging. Application of dynamic evaluation method (DA): Through adult tips, children in the pre-operational stage can partially pass the conservation task, indicating that the development potential area (ZPD) is earlier than natural maturity, and Piaget's argument on "egocentric bias" needs to be corrected.

DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/2025.ND26830

2.3. Expansion of the influence of cultural and social factors

Staged Cultivation Model of Patriotism: Design cultural values education based on Piaget's stage theory: Perceptual movement stage: Build a sense of belonging through family interaction. Pre-operation stage: symbolic personification of national symbols (national flag, map); Specific operation stage: deduce the logic of "home-country isomorphism" (such as resource allocation simulation); Formal operation stage: Critically discuss the philosophical foundation of benevolence. Difference: Integrate the socio-cultural dimension (Vygotsky's "cultural tool") into the cognitive development stage to make up for Piaget's neglect of cultural differences.

Cross-cultural Cognitive Load Differences: Chinese and Western children benefit from physical action in multimedia learning, but Chinese learners are more likely to activate visual-spatial working memory because 1977 of logographic writing system characteristics, highlighting the allocation of cognitive resources by cultural tools.

2.4. Transformation and application of educational practice

Teaching Design Adapted to Cognitive Development: Specific calculation stage: adopt "reversible tasks" (such as water conservation experiments) and "multi-attribute sorting" (such as classification by color/shape at the same time) to strengthen the decentralization ability; Formal operational stage: present a hypothesis-deductive exercise (e.g., "If gravity were to vanish, how would the city function?") to foster abstract systemic thinking.

Integration Plan for Mental Health and Academics: 10 minutes a day "structured mindfulness breaks": Based on the PoETA framework, implement the writing of "three grateful little things" before math class to improve the learning investment by 23% (2025 meta analysis); Contact: Echoing Piaget's "balance requires emotional security" but not operationalized, now with micro-intervention to achieve emotional-cognitive balance.

3. Stage theory of cognitive development

3.1. Sensorimotor stage (0-2 years)

Core Focus: Understanding the world exclusively through sensory input (seeing, hearing, touching, tasting, smelling) and motor actions (grasping, sucking, kicking, looking).

Object Permanence (Major Milestone ~8-12 months): Understanding that objects continue to exist even when out of sight. Before this, "out of sight" truly means "out of mind." Goal-Directed Behavior: Intentional actions to achieve a result (e.g., pulling a blanket to reach a toy on it). Early Symbolic Thought (Late Stage): Beginnings of mental representation, seen in deferred imitation (imitating an action seen earlier) and symbolic play. No internal mental representation of the world for much of the stage; knowledge is tied to immediate actions and perceptions. The beginnings of mental representations appear at the end of this stage in the form of delayed imitation (imitating behaviors observed in the past) and symbolic play.

3.2. Preoperational stage (2 - 7 years)

Core Focus: Development of symbolic function (language, mental imagery, pretend play). Thought is intuitive rather than logical.

DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/2025.ND26830

Egocentrism: Difficulty seeing the world from any perspective other than their own (e.g., in the Three Mountains Task), typified by the difficulty in choosing perspectives in the Three Hills Experiment. Centration: Focusing on only one salient aspect of a situation while ignoring other relevant features (e.g., focusing only on height in liquid conservation, ignoring width). Lack of Conservation: Inability to understand that quantity (number, mass, volume) remains the same despite changes in appearance (e.g., when a liquid is poured from a short cup into a tall cup, the child perceives the amount as more and ignores the width by focusing only on the change in height). Irreversibility: Difficulty mentally reversing a sequence of steps to return to the starting point. Animism: Attributing lifelike qualities to inanimate objects. Transductive Reasoning: Reasoning from one specific instance to another specific instance, often illogically (e.g., "I haven't had my nap, so it isn't afternoon"). Rapid language development, rich imagination, and symbolic play flourish.

3.3. Concrete operational stage (7 - 11 years)

Core Focus: Emergence of logical, operational thought, but tied to concrete, tangible objects and experiences.

Conservation: Mastery of the concept that quantity remains constant despite perceptual transformation (number, liquid, mass, length, area, weight; volume comes later). Ability to consider multiple aspects of a situation simultaneously. Understanding that actions can be mentally reversed. Classification: Ability to group objects into hierarchies (categories and sub-categories) based on shared characteristics. Seriation: Ability to arrange objects along a quantitative dimension (e.g., ordering sticks by length). Transitive Inference: Ability to deduce relationships (e.g., If A > B and B > C, then A > C) using concrete examples. Limitations: Difficulty with abstract, hypothetical, or purely verbal reasoning. Thinking systematically about possibilities is challenging.

3.4. Formal operational stage (11+ years)

The core focus is the development of abstract, scientific, and hypothetical-deductive reasoning. Abstract Thought: Involves manipulating ideas, variables, and concepts independent of concrete experiences (e.g., algebra, justice, freedom) Hypothetical-Deductive Reasoning: Denotes the ability to formulate general hypotheses and systematically deduce or test logical predictions (e.g., "What if...?" reasoning). Propositional Thought: Ability to evaluate the logic of verbal statements without needing concrete referents. Systematic Problem-Solving: Ability to methodically generate and test solutions to complex problems (e.g., scientific experimentation). Metacognition: Enhanced ability to think about one's own thought processes.

Adaptation Mechanisms: Building and Changing Knowledge

Assimilation: The process of interpreting new experiences through existing schemas. It involves fitting new input into pre-existing mental structures. Example: A child with a "dog" schema (four legs, furry) sees a cow and calls it a "dog," assimilating the new experience [4].

Accommodation: The process of modifying existing schemas or creating new ones to incorporate new information that cannot be assimilated. It involves changing cognitive structures to fit new experiences. Example: The child learns the new concept "cow" (different from dog) and creates a new schema for cows, or adjusts the "dog" schema to be more specific (accommodating the new information). Relationship: Assimilation and accommodation work continuously together; assimilation adapts the world to the mind, while accommodation adapts the mind to the world. They are complementary processes essential for learning [4].

DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/2025.ND26830

Equilibration: The Driving Force

This self-regulatory mechanism drives cognitive development. It involves a continuous process of seeking a balance between current understanding and new experiences or information. When assimilation fails, cognitive disequilibrium arises—manifested as confusion or conflict [5]. Disequilibrium is uncomfortable and motivates the individual to resolve the conflict, primarily through accommodation (modifying or creating schemas). Successfully resolving disequilibrium through accommodation leads to a new, higher level of equilibrium–a more complex and adaptive understanding. This cycle of equilibrium > disequilibrium > new equilibrium is the fundamental engine driving the progression through Piaget's stages, as schemas become increasingly sophisticated and capable of handling more complex information [5].

Schemas: The Building Blocks of Thought

Mental frameworks or organized patterns of thought and action that individuals use to interpret and interact with the world [6]. They are the basic units of knowledge. Initially (Sensorimotor stage), schemas are simple action-based patterns (e.g., grasping schema, sucking schema). As development progresses, schemas become more complex and internalized (mental representations), evolving from sensorimotor patterns into symbolic concepts and eventually complex systems of abstract logical operations (e.g., conservation schema, classification schema, hypothetical-deductive schema). Schemas are dynamic and constantly modified through the processes of assimilation and accommodation. They form an interconnected network of knowledge that allows individuals to understand, predict, and respond to their environment.

Function: Schemas facilitate efficient information processing through structured interpretation frameworks.

4. Piaget's theory and the understanding process

Developmental Constraints on Understanding

Preoperational stage limitations: Children cannot grasp conservation principles due to lacking reversible thinking.

Concrete operational stage requirements: Thinkers rely on physical referents to process logical operations.

Formal operational capabilities: Abstract system analysis becomes achievable through hypothetical-deductive reasoning.

Assimilation reinforces existing schemas by integrating new experiences. Accommodation drives conceptual transformation during disequilibrium states. Moderate cognitive conflict is essential for developmental growth, as it triggers schema revision [7].

5. Translating theory into educational practice

5.1. Developmentally appropriate pedagogy

Diagnostic assessment: Identify operational levels via clinical interviews [8].

Discovery Learning with Problem-Based Scenarios: Present authentic, ill-structured problems that require investigation and solution-building to mirror real-world challenges—encouraging hypothesis generation, experimentation, and multiple solution paths.

DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/2025.ND26830

Physical & Digital Manipulatives for Embodiment: Utilize concrete objects, simulations, and dynamic digital tools to make abstract concepts tangible and manipulable, grounding understanding in sensory-motor experience. Facilitate mental model building through direct interaction.

Social Construction through Collaboration: Structure meaningful peer interactions (discussions, collaborative projects, peer teaching) to expose students to diverse perspectives, co-construct knowledge, and refine understanding through dialogue and argumentation (Vygotskian social learning). Utilize strategies like think-pair-share or jigsaw [9].

Metacognitive Scaffolding: Explicitly guide students to reflect on their own thinking processes (metacognition). This fosters self-regulation and deeper conceptual awareness.

Contextualized & Authentic Learning Tasks: Embed learning within meaningful contexts relevant to students' lives, interests, or future applications. Connect concepts to real-world phenomena, current events, or interdisciplinary projects to enhance relevance and transfer.

Formative Assessment as Feedback Loop: Integrate low-stakes assessments (e.g., observations, peer feedback) primarily to provide timely feedback on understanding and processes, informing instructional adjustments while focusing on learning progression.

5.2. Connecting the frameworks

Diagnostic assessment informs the design of appropriately challenging problem-based scenarios and identifies the "zone of proximal development" (ZPD) for scaffolding during guided exploration and collaboration. Concrete-to-Abstract Sequencing is directly supported by the strategic use of manipulatives and embodiment activities, providing the concrete foundation before moving to symbolic representation within problem-solving or exploration. Stage-Aligned Activities are enriched by constructivist elements: manipulatives become tools for schema testing; hypothetical dilemmas become collaborative problem-based scenarios; guided exploration provides the necessary scaffolding within each stage. The supplemented elements emphasize the active role of the learner in constructing meaning, the importance of social interaction and metacognition, the need for authenticity, and the crucial role of formative feedback within the constructivist framework, while showing how they directly support the developmental approach.

6. Conclusion

Piaget's constructivist framework—integrating developmental stages, adaptation mechanisms (assimilation/accommodation), and equilibration dynamics—establishes an empirically grounded foundation for pedagogical innovation. By translating theoretical principles into actionable strategies, educators can significantly enhance knowledge construction. Key findings demonstrate that developmentally appropriate pedagogy, informed by diagnostic assessment (e.g., clinical interviews) and stage-aligned activities (manipulatives for concrete operations; hypothetical dilemmas for formal operations), coupled with constructivist learning design (problem-based discovery, guided schema testing), effectively deepens conceptual understanding and cultivates critical thinking across disciplines. The integration of emerging insights on cognitive-emotional dynamics (e.g., EFT-DoG pathways) and cultural scaffolding (e.g., the patriotism stage model) further enriches Piagetian application, addressing his initial underemphasis on socio-cultural factors. Limitations persist: cultural mediation variability, domain-specific exceptions and overestimation of formal operations prevalence. Ultimately, embracing Piaget’s vision—where children are "scientists

DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/2025.ND26830

and emotional experiencers"—requires continually adapting his framework to contemporary cognitive and cultural landscapes through rigorous, applied research.

References

[1] Piaget, J. (1952). The origins of intelligence in children. International Universities Press.
[2] Langeveld, R. B. M. J. (1964). The developmental psychology of jean Piaget by john h. Flavell. International Review of Education.
[3] Steven H. DeVries, (2000), Bipolar Cells Use Kainite and AMPA Receptors to Filter Visual Information into Separate Channels, Neuron, Volume 28, Issue 3.
[4] Piaget, J. (1954). The construction of reality in the child. Basic Books.
[5] Piaget, J. (1977). The development of thought. Viking.
[6] Wadsworth, B. J., (1996). Piaget's theory of cognitive and affective development: foundations of constructivism. Pearson Schweitz ag.
[7] Adey, Shayer, P., & Michael. (1994). Really raising standards. Iceri Proceedings.
[8] Ginsburg, H., (1998). Entering the child's mind. Cambridge university press.
[9] Gopez, J.M., Gopez, B. Eur J Psychol Educ 39, (2024). Instructor scaffolding for interaction and online student engagement among a sample of college students in the Philippines: the mediating role of self-regulation.