

The Application of Artificial Intelligence in University Teaching

Yi Wang

*Environmental College, Zhejiang University of Technology, Hangzhou, China
2726194006@qq.com*

Abstract. As the application of artificial intelligence (AI) in higher education becomes increasingly widespread, it has emerged as a key focus of educational innovation and academic research. AI technologies are being rapidly integrated into various aspects of university education, transforming how knowledge is delivered, accessed, and assessed. This paper focuses on the application of AI in higher education, examining three main areas: the integration of AI in teaching and learning, the challenges that arise in the process, and strategic recommendations for effective implementation. Specifically, it analyzes how AI reshapes teaching models, curriculum development, the role of educators, and student learning behaviors. It also addresses concerns related to ethics, data privacy, equity, and teacher-student dynamics. Based on current research and case studies, the paper argues that AI holds significant potential in creating a more personalized, intelligent, and inclusive higher education ecosystem. Ultimately, AI is positioned not only as a tool but as a transformative force driving the future of educational reform.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, University Teaching, Personalized Learning, Educational Technology, Teaching Innovation

1. Introduction

Higher education is a cornerstone of national development and social progress. Faced with the new demands of the digital era, traditional teaching models have revealed numerous limitations in efficiency, personalization, and resource distribution. AI technology, with its powerful data processing and predictive capabilities, injects new vitality into higher education. It enables the precise identification of students' learning differences and enhances teaching quality and learning experiences through intelligent content recommendation and evaluation systems. As Tang Ping and colleagues noted, "AI is not just cold machines and data, but a quiet and wise companion that sensitively captures the unique highlights of each student's learning journey" [1]. This highlights the profound value of AI in education: supporting personalization, empowering teachers, and guiding students toward individualized growth. The remainder of this paper is structured as follows: first, it examines the applications of AI in teaching and learning; second, it explores the challenges of integrating AI into higher education; finally, it offers practical recommendations for future implementation.

2. Applications of AI in teaching and learning

This section explores how AI technologies are being integrated into university-level teaching and learning practices. Specifically, it focuses on three key areas where AI demonstrates transformative potential: smart assessment and feedback systems, AI-powered teaching assistants, and intelligent curriculum design. Through these applications, AI is reshaping traditional educational models and enhancing both teaching efficiency and learning effectiveness.

2.1. Smart assessment and feedback

One of the most transformative applications of artificial intelligence in higher education is smart assessment, which often relies on the foundation of personalized learning. By analyzing data such as student engagement, performance trends, and learning styles, AI systems can dynamically adjust instructional pacing and evaluation strategies. Adaptive testing platforms tailor question difficulty in real time, while predictive analytics help identify students at risk of underperformance, enabling timely interventions.

AI-powered assessment tools such as Turnitin and Gradescope have reshaped evaluation practices: they offer detailed feedback on essay structure and coherence, provide instant grammar correction, and detect plagiarism through advanced pattern recognition. For instance, Aluthman developed an automated essay evaluation system for online English courses, which significantly improved students' writing outcomes [2]. In STEM disciplines, AI enables the instant grading of complex problem sets and supports virtual lab environments that adjust to learner inputs, enhancing interactivity and conceptual understanding.

Moreover, AI supports continuous, data-informed feedback loops by providing students with timely, actionable insights throughout the learning process. Intelligent tutoring systems and AI chatbots offer on-demand assistance, helping learners clarify concepts, track progress, and stay engaged. These tools not only reduce instructors' grading workload but also enhance student autonomy and motivation. Additionally, administrative automation—such as managing deadlines and reminders—further streamlines the learning experience, allowing educators to focus more on personalized guidance and higher-order instruction.

2.2. AI teaching assistants

Georgia Tech's virtual teaching assistant "Jill Watson"—developed in 2016 using IBM Watson—has emerged as a landmark case study in AI's capacity to manage high-volume student interactions. Initially deployed to address over 10,000 monthly queries in an online AI course, Jill Watson leveraged historical forum data (40,000+ past questions and answers) to achieve 97% answer accuracy after iterative refinements, significantly reducing the workload of human TAs by 40%. Crucially, students were unable to distinguish Jill from human TAs for months, with some even nominating her for "Outstanding Teaching Assistant." Modern iterations now integrate generative AI (e.g., ChatGPT and retrieval-augmented generation) to contextualize responses from textbooks, syllabi, and lecture transcripts, scaling support for 1,300+ students across Georgia Tech and community colleges. Beyond efficiency, such systems enhance equity through 24/7 multilingual support (e.g., Georgia State's chatbot Pounce).

2.3. Intelligent curriculum design

Artificial intelligence is transforming higher education through intelligent curriculum design, enabling educators to identify knowledge gaps via real-time performance analytics and dynamically restructure syllabi—for example, Stanford’s AI systems embed emerging skills such as AI ethics based on labor market trends. AI also co-creates courses with faculty through tools like Coursera, optimizing pedagogical sequencing and learning outcomes. Beyond curriculum innovation, AI applications include virtual teaching assistants (e.g., Georgia Tech’s Jill Watson, which handles over 10,000 queries), predictive analytics that boost course completion by 4%, automated grading that reduces STEM failure rates by 15%, and immersive language simulations—collectively forging a responsive, equitable, and future-ready educational ecosystem that requires strategic human oversight.

3. Challenges in applying AI to higher education

While AI offers numerous benefits for higher education, its integration also presents complex challenges that must be critically addressed. This section examines four key areas of concern: privacy and surveillance risks associated with data collection, disparities in digital literacy among educators, issues of fairness and algorithmic bias, and potential threats to pedagogical integrity. Together, these challenges highlight the need for cautious, ethical, and context-sensitive implementation of AI technologies in academic settings.

3.1. Privacy and surveillance risks

AI holds immense potential, promising to democratize teaching and learning, personalize education, and deliver superior educational outcomes. From adaptive learning platforms to intelligent tutoring systems, AI-driven tools can transform traditional learning modes, creating new possibilities for students and teachers [3]. However, alongside AI’s potential in education, it also carries risks and uncertainties regarding its implementation. An important consideration is the privacy risks of AI use in the classroom [4]. Systems collecting granular student data—including biometric engagement metrics (e.g., eye-tracking via webcams), location patterns, and socio-emotional indicators—create vulnerabilities for profiling, discrimination, and unauthorized third-party data access. For instance, proctoring tools have faced lawsuits for invasive monitoring during exams, while predictive analytics platforms storing sensitive mental health data risk algorithmic bias against marginalized groups. Mitigating these threats demands robust governance frameworks: implementing differential privacy techniques to anonymize datasets and granting students agency through transparent opt-in consent mechanisms.

3.2. Varying digital literacy among teachers

Disparities in educators’ proficiency with AI tools—ranging from basic applications to advanced prompt engineering—significantly impede the adoption and effectiveness of AI in higher education. Surveys indicate many of faculty lack confidence in using AI-driven platforms like Gradescope for automated grading or Nuance’s transcription tools for lecture analytics. This skills gap risks exacerbating educational inequities, as under-resourced institutions struggle to deploy AI-enhanced personalized learning or predictive analytics tools.

3.3. Fairness and algorithmic bias

AI in higher education holds huge promise—personalizing learning, saving teachers time, and spotting struggling students early—but it faces serious challenges that can worsen inequality. Admissions tools risk favoring privileged applicants by mistaking zip codes for merit, while auto-graders may penalize non-native English speakers for brilliant but culturally distinct writing. These fairness issues mix with other challenges: teachers needing better training to use AI wisely, underfunded schools lacking tech access, and privacy risks from invasive monitoring. The negative impact of AI manifests through the implicit bias in AI-driven systems, which affects underrepresented student groups by excluding them from consideration for admission to colleges, as Rosh-anaei explicitly argued:

Using AI in school admissions, for example, might result in bias if the criteria are based on high test scores that favor certain groups. If AI is trained on data reflecting a majority group, it can unintentionally exclude minorities, reinforcing existing biases and creating a cycle of discrimination. This can unjustly limit opportunities for underrepresented individuals, highlighting the need for vigilance against bias in educational AI applications [5].

3.4. Threats to pedagogical integrity

When used responsibly, these technologies provide extensive, relevant information and enrich educational practices [6]. These technologies enable innovative methods of teaching, learning, and interaction [7,8], while enhancing the risks such as dishonesty and potential over-reliance on AI [9]. The integration of AI in academia risks compromising foundational learning principles when deployed without pedagogical foresight. Over-reliance on tools like ChatGPT for essay drafting or problem-solving can erode critical thinking, as students sidestep the intellectual struggle necessary to develop analytical depth. Compounding this, academic misconduct proliferates. Many students admit to using AI for assignments despite institutional bans. Studies indicate that the current generation of AI detectors achieves an accuracy rate of approximately 88%, meaning that about 12% of AI-generated content may go undetected [10]. Thus, students should learn the importance of using AI as a tool to assist and augment human capabilities rather than replacing human input altogether [11].

4. Future recommendations

4.1. Build a multi-disciplinary AI education ecosystem

Effective AI in higher education requires a multi-disciplinary ecosystem—teachers, psychologists, ethicists, and students collaborating to align technology with learning goals. As Dignum notes, “More than multidisciplinary, future students need to be transdisciplinary—to be proficient in a variety of intellectual frameworks beyond disciplinary perspectives” [12]. This requires a set of capabilities that are not covered by current education curricula and calls for a redesign of current studies that should start by considering (and incentivizing) the relevance of interdisciplinary collaboration. This approach enables practical applications such as bias-free AI tutors that teach writing skills (not just correct grammar mistakes), courses that adapt in real time to student needs, and accessibility tools designed with learners in mind. This requires designing tiered curricula that embed AI fluency across all fields—from core STEM disciplines (e.g., advanced algorithms) to applied sciences (e.g., AI-driven diagnostics) and humanities (e.g., digital ethics). Faculty must be

empowered through cross-departmental training and tools like AI teaching assistants to co-create adaptive pedagogy.

4.2. Faculty training and institutional support

AI's potential in higher education—from personalized learning (Coursera) to virtual TAs (Jill Watson)—depends on empowering faculty through institutional support. Imagine if every professor had a smart assistant that never sleeps: it grades quizzes at midnight, identifies struggling students before they even miss an assignment, and creates a virtual lab where pre-med students can practice operations. To make this real, colleges need to treat AI like a new campus colleague, not just an app. Universities must fund ongoing training and ethical guidelines so educators can confidently harness tools like ChatGPT for simulations or predictive analytics.

4.3. Strengthen platform sharing and collaboration

Universities should build open, standardized AI platforms to share quality resources. This not only facilitates efficient resource allocation and reduces redundant development, but also promotes cross-institutional cooperation in research and teaching. By connecting AI teaching platforms, research datasets, and algorithm modules across different universities, students and faculty can access a broader range of high-quality learning materials, tools, and technical support. Moreover, shared platforms encourage interdisciplinary innovation, enabling collaborations between computer science, education, psychology, and other fields. Establishing unified data standards and interoperability protocols is critical to ensuring seamless integration and data exchange. Government and industry partners can also be invited to co-develop such platforms, offering real-world datasets and problem scenarios that further enhance the relevance and application of AI education. Ultimately, strengthening platform sharing and collaboration will contribute to building a more inclusive, efficient, and future-oriented AI education ecosystem.

5. Conclusion

AI is revolutionizing university teaching with profound impacts on curriculum, pedagogy, assessment, and stakeholder roles. Universities should embrace AI development by updating mindsets, improving systems, strengthening faculty capabilities, and building intelligent, equitable learning environments. AI will not replace educators but will serve as a catalyst for teacher-student growth and educational innovation. However, this study has limitations, including the relatively narrow scope of case studies and the rapid evolution of AI technology, which may restrict the long-term applicability of the findings. Future research should address these gaps by exploring longitudinal studies on AI's effectiveness, examining the ethical implications of AI in education, and developing robust frameworks for AI integration that balance innovation with accountability. A deeper investigation into the impact of AI on diverse student populations and faculty training will also be essential to ensure the equitable and sustainable implementation of AI in higher education.

References

- [1] Tang P., Luo X., Huang X. (2025). Application of Artificial Intelligence in Vocational Curriculum Reconstruction. *Mold Manufacturing*, (7): 73-76.
- [2] Aluthman, E. S. (2016). The effect of using automated essay evaluation on ESL undergraduate students' writing skill. *International Journal of English Linguistics*, 6(5), 54–67. <https://doi.org/10.5539/ijel.v6n5p54>

- [3] Pendency B (2023) Artificial intelligence: the future of education. *Jurnal Indonesia Sosial Sains* 2(11). <https://doi.org/10.59141/jiss.v2i11.801>
- [4] Irfan M, Aldulaylan F, Alqahtani Y (2023) Ethics and privacy in Irish higher education: a comprehensive study of artificial intelligence (AI) tools implementation at University of Limerick. *Glob Soc Sci Rev* 8(II): 201–210. [https://doi.org/10.31703/gssr.2023\(VIII-II\).19](https://doi.org/10.31703/gssr.2023(VIII-II).19)
- [5] Roshanaei, M. (2024). Towards best practices for mitigating artificial intelligence implicit bias in shaping diversity, inclusion and equity in higher education. *Education and Information Technologies*, 1-26. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-024-12605-2>
- [6] Dempere, J., Modugu, K., Hesham, A. and Ramasamy, L.K., (2023) The impact of ChatGPT on higher education. In *Frontiers in Education*, Vol 8, pp 1206936.
- [7] Kasneci, E., Seßler, K., Küchemann, S., Bannert, M., Dementieva, D., Fischer, F., Gasser, U., Groh, G., Günemann, S., Hüllermeier, E. and Krusche, S., 2023. ChatGPT for good? On opportunities and challenges of large language models for education. *Learning and individual differences*, Vol 103, p.102274.
- [8] Tlili, A., Shehata, B., Adarkwah, M.A., Bozkurt, A., Hickey, D.T., Huang, R. and Agyemang, B., 2023. What if the devil is my guardian angel: ChatGPT as a case study of using chatbots in education. *Smart learning environments*, Vol 10, No. 1, p.15
- [9] Sullivan, M., Kelly, A. and McLaughlan, P., (2023) ChatGPT in higher education: Considerations for academic integrity and student learning. *Journal of Applied Learning & Teaching*, Vol 6, No. 1, pp 1-10.
- [10] Paustian, T., & Slinger, B. (2024). Students are using large language models and AI detectors can often detect their use. *Frontiers in Education*, 9 , 1374889, <https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2024.1374889>
- [11] Crawford, K., & Calo, R. (2016). There is a blind spot in AI research. *Nature News*, 538, 311e313
- [12] Dignum, V. (2021). The role and challenges of education for responsible AI. *London Review of Education*, 19(1), 1–11.