Proceedings of ICEIPI 2025 Symposium: Understanding Religious Identity in Educational Contexts
DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/2025.ND26080

The Impact of Adolescent Academic Stress on Academic Achievement

Siqi Chai

College of International Education, Minzu University of China, Beijing, China
18649062557@163.com

Abstract. Against the backdrop of an increasingly competitive contemporary education system, adolescents are experiencing significantly heightened academic stress. Understanding the mechanisms through which this stress affects their academic achievement has become a critical issue in educational psychology research. This paper employs a literature review methodology to focus on the core question of "the impact of adolescent academic stress on academic achievement." Grounded in the Yerkes-Dodson Law concerning the stress-performance relationship, and integrating social cognitive theory and adolescent developmental psychology characteristics, it explores the pathways through which academic stress operates across dimensions such as cognitive regulation, emotional responses, and behavioral performance. The paper proposes intervention strategies across three levels: at the family level, emphasizing supportive parenting and emotional communication; at the school level, optimizing evaluation systems and teacher support methods; and at the individual level, strengthening psychological regulation capabilities and learning strategy cultivation. The results indicate that effective management of adolescent academic stress not only promotes academic success but also provides practical pathways and theoretical support for fostering a healthy and positive educational ecosystem.

Keywords: Adolescents, Academic stress, Academic achievement, Yerkes-Dodson Law

1. Introduction

Amid the trend of intensifying competition within the current education system, the academic pressure faced by adolescents continues to rise. Academic stress has become one of the significant variables affecting their educational development and mental health. College-entrance-oriented educational evaluation mechanisms, cultures of high parental expectations, and peer performance comparisons are shifting adolescent learning activities from being intrinsically motivated to being externally pressured.

Existing research has made preliminary explorations into this issue. Putwain and Daly found that moderate exam stress can motivate students and enhance performance, while high levels of test anxiety significantly inhibit learning outcomes [1]. Kaplan et al. indicated that academic stress indirectly impacts students' academic achievement over the subsequent three years by influencing their self-expectation systems [2]. Wang Qin and Peng Moran found that high-control parenting styles are more likely to trigger test anxiety and performance sensitivity in students [3].

© 2026 The Authors. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/2025.ND26080

This paper systematically reviews the mechanisms of stress influence, aiming to construct comprehensive response pathways. This study enriches the theoretical framework regarding the "stress-performance" relationship in adolescent education, offering tailored guidance for educational policymaking and psychological support practices in the current context of widespread academic stress.

2. Theoretical framework

This paper takes the Yerkes-Dodson Law as its core, integrates social cognitive theory and adolescent developmental psychology characteristics to explore the mechanisms through which academic stress impacts academic achievement, and provides theoretical support for subsequent analysis of influencing factors and strategic interventions.

Yerkes and Dodson proposed that an inverted U-shaped relationship exists between an individual's performance and their level of arousal in complex task situations [4]. Performance is initially lacking in low-stress scenarios due to insufficient motivation and attention dispersion. As pressure increases, so does arousal, which enhances cognitive efficiency and subsequently performance. However, when pressure exceeds a certain critical point, over-arousal disrupts attention control and information processing, leading to a decline in performance. This model reveals that moderate stress has a facilitative effect, while levels that are too high or too low can inhibit academic performance. The theory's importance stems from its rejection of the simplistic notion that "stress is always detrimental," highlighting instead the nuanced and conditional nature of stress's impact on performance. For adolescents, the process of academic task completion demands high concentration, effective emotional control, and sustained cognitive engagement, making them particularly susceptible to stress levels. The Yerkes-Dodson Law provides a theoretical basis for analyzing phenomena such as "moderate anxiety improves performance" and "excessive anxiety leads to breakdown" observed in adolescent learning situations.

Although the Yerkes-Dodson Law originated from animal experiments, it has broad applicability in educational contexts. Learning activities typically possess several characteristics: high task complexity, clear goal orientation, and the presence of external evaluation mechanisms. These features make adolescents' academic activities a real-world scenario well-suited for this theory. Educational psychology research further indicates that different types of learning tasks have varying requirements for the "optimal stress level": cognitively demanding tasks involving analysis and reasoning are more sensitive to stress, while repetitive practice tasks tolerate a relatively wider range of stress. Simultaneously, individual reactions to academic stress are not homogeneous.

Social cognitive theory emphasizes that an individual's behavior results from the interaction of cognitive, environmental, and behavioral factors [5]. In essence, stress is not the sole determinant; rather, the individual's cognitive interpretation of stress and their coping strategies are the keys influencing behavioral responses and ultimate academic outcomes. For example, students with high self-efficacy are more inclined to view stress as a challenge, actively mobilize resources to cope, and exhibit higher learning engagement and effectiveness. Conversely, those with low self-efficacy may fall into negative cognitions, displaying maladaptive behaviors like avoidance and procrastination, leading to academic decline. This pathway of stress influence from the perspective of subjective cognition further illustrates that the "optimal stress point" is not static but varies depending on individual capabilities, beliefs, and resources.

From a developmental psychology perspective, adolescents are in a critical stage where emotion regulation, self-identity, and social adaptation are developing rapidly but are not yet mature. Physiologically, the prefrontal cortex, responsible for executive control and emotional inhibition, is

DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/2025.ND26080

still maturing. Psychologically, self-awareness is heightened, and sensitivity to external evaluation is acute. These characteristics result in adolescents experiencing intense perception of stress, significant emotional volatility, and weak self-regulation abilities. Consequently, when facing academic stress, they are more prone to deviate from the "optimal zone" of the Yerkes-Dodson curve, showing pronounced negative reactions even at low or moderate stress levels.

Therefore, when applying the Yerkes-Dodson Law to adolescent populations, it is essential to fully consider moderating variables such as their physiological maturity, cognitive resource reserves, and social support systems. The assessment of "moderate stress" should adopt a developmental perspective for individualized understanding.

3. The impact of adolescent academic stress on academic achievement

To deeply understand the relationship between academic stress and achievement, it is necessary first to clarify the main sources of academic stress and then analyze its different pathways of influence on achievement.

3.1. Major influencing factors of academic stress

The formation of academic stress in adolescents is typically the result of multiple interacting factors, primarily including external structural stressors and individual subjective perception factors.

The family is the primary domain of adolescent socialization. Empirical research shows that high-expectation families often use academic performance as the core evaluation standard, which can lead children to develop the belief that "failure to meet expectations equates to loss of worth," resulting in intense exam-oriented anxiety. Furthermore, controlling parenting styles and parent-child relationships characterized by low emotional support weaken adolescents' psychological resilience, making them more susceptible to being overwhelmed by stress [3].

Contemporary schooling generally emphasizes ranking systems and college entrance orientation. Academic stress manifests mainly in heavy workloads, intense pace, and strong external evaluation pressures. Teachers' instructional styles also have a significant impact. Research reveals that teaching methods characterized by high control and low autonomy support are more likely to induce students' achievement anxiety [6].

Adolescence marks a stage of heightened self-awareness, where individuals often construct self-worth through social comparison. In collective learning environments with intense competitive atmospheres, some students experience psychological pressure from "relative failure." This comparative pressure easily triggers psychological issues such as social withdrawal and performance anxiety.

Beyond external structural factors, adolescents' own psychological traits significantly influence their perception and regulation of academic stress. Studies indicate that students with high neuroticism, low self-esteem, and low self-efficacy are more likely to perceive academic tasks as threatening, leading to higher subjective stress. Additionally, the effectiveness of coping strategies (e.g., time management, problem-solving skills) and the availability of social support systems (e.g., teacher support, peer emotional support) greatly influence the intensity of perceived stress and regulation outcomes [7].

DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/2025.ND26080

3.2. The relationship between academic stress and academic achievement

The impact of academic stress on achievement is not unidirectional but exhibits multi-pathway, multi-level characteristics. Overall, academic stress can influence achievement through three primary pathways: facilitative effects, inhibitory effects, and mediating/moderating effects.

According to the Yerkes-Dodson Law, under conditions of moderate stress, adolescents may exhibit higher levels of cognitive activation, thereby improving attention focus, learning efficiency, and goal motivation. Some studies have found that moderate test anxiety can prompt students to plan ahead and respond proactively, contributing to short-term academic improvement [1]. In such cases, academic stress acts as an "external driver" that motivates learning behavior.

However, when academic stress exceeds an individual's capacity to regulate it, cognitive overload, emotional disturbances, and behavioral withdrawal can occur, with severe cases leading to anxiety disorders and depressive moods. Under high stress, adolescents often exhibit problems like attention deficits, task avoidance, and loss of motivation, negatively impacting learning efficiency and performance levels [2]. Furthermore, excessive stress can also trigger indirect issues like poor sleep quality and physical fatigue, further weakening academic capacity. Sustained high-pressure environments may ultimately lead to academic burnout or even dropout tendencies, creating a vicious cycle of stress and declining achievement.

The relationship between academic stress and achievement is not purely linear; it is also influenced by various mediating and moderating variables. Common mediating mechanisms include self-efficacy, emotion regulation ability, and the use of learning strategies. High self-efficacy diminishes the perceived threat in learning scenarios, consequently lessening stress's detrimental effects on academic performance [8]. Moreover, the capacity for emotion regulation assists students in sustaining their learning focus amidst stressful conditions [9]. Additionally, strategic learning techniques, such as planning, breaking tasks into manageable segments, and seeking timely feedback, support students in maintaining their study momentum under pressure [10]. Moderating variables include gender, grade level, and cultural background. For instance, a study on Chinese urban secondary students found that girls reported higher anxiety levels and showed more pronounced achievement impacts under similar stress levels, while boys were more likely to avoid stressors, exhibiting different behavioral response patterns [11].

In summary, the impact of adolescent academic stress on achievement exhibits significant bidirectionality and complexity. It serves as a moderate motivator but may also lead to significant inhibitory effects when exceeding a threshold. Therefore, the goal of educational intervention should be: to guide students towards the "optimal stress zone," rather than merely seeking to reduce or ignore stress. Achieving this goal requires the combined regulatory and supportive functions of families, schools, and individuals.

4. Strategies for coping with academic stress

Based on existing research and the preceding theoretical analysis, effective stress coping strategies should be coordinated across three dimensions: family, school, and individual.

4.1. Family level: establishing a supportive parenting environment

Families play a crucial role in providing emotional and behavioral support for adolescent stress regulation. Research indicates that parental expectations, if unrealistic or solely performance-based, easily transform into stressors rather than motivators [3]. Therefore, parents should first shift their

DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/2025.ND26080

educational philosophy, focusing on the process (effort, strategy use, psychological growth) rather than merely the outcome (scores, rankings).

Moreover, high-quality parent-child communication significantly aids stress alleviation. Parents should help children express academic anxieties and frustrations through active listening, empathy, and guidance, thereby enhancing their emotional regulation and self-identity. The "psychological safety" fostered in parent-child interactions provides an emotional buffer, boosting adolescents' psychological resilience in stressful academic situations.

4.2. School level: optimizing evaluation mechanisms and the teaching environment

Schools are the primary arena where adolescents experience academic stress. Their teaching structures, teacher behaviors, and evaluation methods directly influence stress perception. Schools should promote diversified, developmental evaluation systems, reducing over-reliance on single exam scores. Encouraging students to demonstrate potential through varied tasks (e.g., project-based learning, class participation, collaborative presentations) can lower the stress induced by "high-stakes assessments."

Teachers, as authority figures in the classroom, directly shape students' emotional experiences and cognitive appraisals. Research shows that supportive teaching styles—including clear goal setting, positive feedback, appropriately challenging tasks, and emotional understanding—help reduce student test anxiety and task avoidance [6]. Furthermore, schools should systematically implement stress identification and intervention work through psychological courses and counseling centers, promoting the equal importance of mental health education and academic development.

Campus culture construction is also crucial. Promoting a "growth mindset" and the concept of "multiple pathways to success," encouraging students to focus on personal progress and potential development, helps alleviate psychological pressure arising from peer comparison. This supports students in freeing themselves from competitive environments and returning to the essence of learning.

4.3. Individual level: enhancing cognitive regulation and behavioral coping skills

From the individual adolescent's perspective, the core of coping with academic stress lies in enhancing self-regulation and psychological resilience. Firstly, self-efficacy, as an individual's judgment of their ability to complete learning tasks, plays a key role in regulating stress perception [12]. Educators and parents can help students experience success and build positive self-evaluation systems through methods like "progressive goal setting" and "constructive task feedback."

Secondly, cultivating emotional regulation skills is essential, enabling adolescents to identify and manage negative emotions (anxiety, anger, helplessness) in high-pressure situations, maintaining cognitive stability. Research shows that training in techniques like meditation, mindfulness awareness, and deep breathing can effectively lower arousal levels and reduce learning dysfunction caused by over-activation [9].

Finally, teaching concrete learning strategies is indispensable. Skills such as time management, task prioritization, planned revision, and goal decomposition enhance the sense of control at the cognitive level, reducing feelings of chaos and thereby mitigating the negative impact of stress. Guiding students to adopt a "strategic rather than emotional" coping mode is key to boosting their stress resistance.

Addressing adolescent academic stress should not stop at "stress elimination" but should focus on "resource enhancement" and "capacity empowerment." Only through consensus and coordinated

DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/2025.ND26080

efforts at the family, school, and individual levels—advancing cognitive regulation, emotional support, and behavioral guidance—can a genuine shift from "stress intervention" to "growth support" be achieved, laying a solid foundation for adolescents' holistic development and long-term academic success.

5. Conclusion

Based on a literature review methodology, this paper systematically analyzes the mechanisms of academic stress influence and corresponding response pathways. At the theoretical level, it grounded its analysis in the Yerkes-Dodson Law, integrating core perspectives from social cognitive theory and developmental psychology to reveal the non-linear nature of the relationship between academic stress and achievement. Furthermore, the paper summarizes key sources of academic stress, including family expectations, school evaluation systems, peer comparison, and individual traits, while highlighting the crucial mediating roles of self-efficacy, emotion regulation ability, and learning strategies. Addressing these mechanisms, the paper proposes intervention strategies targeting family, school, and individual levels, aiming to foster more positive and adaptive academic development pathways for adolescents in stressful environments.

The theoretical significance of this study lies in enriching the explanatory framework of the stress-performance relationship within the context of adolescent academic development. By incorporating multivariate interaction mechanisms, it provides a theoretical foundation for subsequent empirical research. The practical significance lies in offering concrete intervention suggestions for the prevalent "high-pressure, low-efficiency" problem in current educational environments, possessing tangible operational value.

Despite the systematic nature of this paper's theoretical construction and structural analysis, some limitations remain. Firstly, relying primarily on a literature review methodology, it lacks validation with primary empirical data, limiting the broad applicability of its conclusions. Secondly, while the analyzed influencing factors are representative, the paper did not deeply explore differences among distinct social groups. Future research could expand in the following directions: First, it could employ questionnaires or interviews to collect primary data, thereby validating the proposed stress impact model and its regulatory mechanisms. Secondly, it could develop dynamic models to monitor the long-term influence of academic stress on achievement. Thirdly, it could advocate for the localization of intervention research, assessing the practicality and efficacy of intervention strategies within real-world educational contexts. Comprehensive, multi-dimensional, and multi-method research will lead to a more thorough understanding of academic stress's role in adolescent development, offering more accurate theoretical support and policy recommendations for educational reform and psychological support for students.

References

[1] Putwain, D., & Daly, A. L. (2014). Test anxiety prevalence and gender differences in a sample of English secondary school students. Educational Studies, 40(5), 554–570.

[2] Kaplan, D. S., Liu, R. X., & Kaplan, H. B. (2005). School related stress in early adolescence and academic performance three years later: The conditional influence of self expectations. Social Psychology of Education, 8, 3–17.

[3] Wang, Q., & Pomerantz, E. M. (2009). The motivational landscape of early adolescence in the United States and China: A longitudinal investigation. Child development, 80(4), 1272–1287.

[4] Yerkes, R. M., & Dodson, J. D. (1908). The relation of strength of stimulus to rapidity of habit-formation. Journal of Comparative Neurology and Psychology, 18(5), 459–482.

DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/2025.ND26080

[5] Bandura, A. (1986). Social foundations of thought and action: A social cognitive theory. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
[6] Lim, B. C., & Wang, C. J. (2009). Perceived autonomy support, behavioural regulations in physical education and physical activity intention. Psychology of sport and exercise, 10(1), 52–60.
[7] Compas, B. E., Connor-Smith, J. K., Saltzman, H., Thomsen, A. H., & Wadsworth, M. E. (2001). Coping with stress during childhood and adolescence: problems, progress, and potential in theory and research. Psychological bulletin, 127(1), 87.
[8] Chemers, M. M., Hu, L. T., & Garcia, B. F. (2001). Academic self-efficacy and first year college student performance and adjustment. Journal of Educational psychology, 93(1), 55.
[9] Gross, J. J. (2002). Emotion regulation: Affective, cognitive, and social consequences. Psychophysiology, 39(3), 281–291.
[10] Pintrich, P. R., & De Groot, E. V. (1990). Motivational and self-regulated learning components of classroom academic performance. Journal of educational psychology, 82(1), 33.
[11] Chen, X., Wang, L., & Cao, R. (2011). Shyness-sensitivity and unsociability in rural Chinese children: Relations with social, school, and psychological adjustment. Child development, 82(5), 1531–1543.
[12] Bandura, A. (1997). Self-efficacy: The exercise of control. New York: W. H. Freeman.