Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Global Politics and Socio-Humanities
DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/2024.24995

The Impact of Altruistic Situations on Donation Behavior: An Empirical Study Through High and Low Affective Arousal

Yutong Qin[1*], Zihan Wei[2], Zhibin Lu[3], Ziyu Yun[4]

[1]*Newchannel Jinan, Jinan, China*
[2]*North Cross School Shanghai, Shanghai, China*
[3]*University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada*
[4]*Xi'an Tieyi High School, Xi'an, China*
**Corresponding Author. Email:3525979203@qq.com*

Abstract. This study investigates the role of emotional arousal in influencing donating behaviors, critically evaluating the assumption of rational decision-making in economic models. Utilizing controlled experiments designed to elicit high and low levels of emotional response, this research quantifies the effects of emotional arousal on the propensity to donate and the corresponding donation amounts. The results reveal that heightened emotional arousal significantly enhances both the willingness to donate and the donation amounts. However, the study also highlights that certain factors, such as economic constraints and skepticism towards charitable organizations, can inhibit donation behaviors, even under conditions of high emotional arousal. This work contributes to the field of behavioral economics by detailing the interplay between emotion and altruistic behavior and suggests that emotional engagement can be a potent driver for enhancing altruistic actions.

Keywords: Altruistic Behavior, Emotional Arousal, Donation Dynamics, Behavioral Economics, Empirical Analysis

1. Introduction

The intersection of emotions and economic decision-making has garnered significant interest in the realm of behavioral economics. Conventional economic theories traditionally depict individuals as rational agents, primarily focused on maximizing personal benefits. Yet, real-world decisions, especially those linked to altruistic activities like donations, often diverge from these rational expectations. Altruism, particularly in the form of charitable giving, introduces complex behaviors influenced by more than mere material returns, posing challenges to traditional economic models. Research on the motivators behind charitable contributions is extensive, yet the specific impact of emotional arousal on donation behaviors is less thoroughly explored. While much attention has been given to socio-economic influences and personal values, the direct effect of emotional states on donating has been somewhat overlooked. This oversight highlights a critical area for deeper inquiry into how emotions can both encourage and deter altruistic actions [1].

© 2025 The Authors. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/2024.24995

The aim of this research is to explore how varying degrees of emotional arousal influence an individual's propensity to donate and the actual donation amounts. By manipulating emotional triggers through narratives and imagery in a controlled experiment, the study measures how emotional arousal can modify donation intentions and behaviors. The results are intended to shed light on the psychological processes that underlie charitable donations and assess the efficacy of emotional appeals in fundraising efforts. This paper integrates quantitative data analysis with qualitative feedback to investigate how emotional responses interact with other motivational factors in influencing charitable behaviors. The findings not only enrich the existing behavioral economics frameworks by providing a deeper understanding of emotional influences on altruism but also yield actionable insights for optimizing fundraising campaigns through strategic emotional engagement [2].

2. Literature review

This section examines existing research on the influence of emotional arousal and decision-making frameworks on donating behavior, drawing insights from various disciplines including economics, psychology, and sociology. The role of emotions in economic decision-making has emerged as a critical area of study in behavioral economics, challenging traditional models based on rational choice theory [3-5].

2.1. Emotional arousal in economic decisions

Studies in behavioral economics have increasingly focused on how non-material factors such as emotions, social norms, and psychological biases influence economic decisions. The impact of emotional arousal on donating behavior is a significant area of research, given that emotions can strongly motivate prosocial actions. Research shows that emotionally charged narratives and scenarios often enhance the willingness to donate by invoking empathy and moral responsibility. For example, highly emotional appeals in fundraising campaigns have been proven effective in increasing the generosity of donations.

2.2. Decision-making in prosocial behavior

The mechanism of decision-making plays a crucial role in prosocial behaviors such as blood donation. The study "Active Decisions and Prosocial Behavior," which involved over 10,000 participants in Switzerland, explores the effect of active versus passive solicitation on donation rates. The findings indicate that active solicitation, where participants are directly asked to donate blood, significantly increases donation rates compared to passive methods. This suggests that the way in which donation requests are framed can influence the decision to give.

2.3. Altruism in anonymous settings

The dynamics of altruism have also been tested in anonymous settings, such as the Dictator Game, where individuals decide how much to donate to unknown recipients. Results from these experiments indicate that donations tend to be smaller when the recipient is anonymous compared to when donations are directed towards well-known charities. This difference underscores the importance of recipient identity in influencing altruistic behavior, highlighting how perceived social impact can affect generosity.

DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/2024.24995

2.4. Behavioral consistency across contexts

The relationship between experimental and real-life settings is critical to understanding the generalizability of behavioral studies. The paper “Do People Behave in Experiments as in the Field?” compares donation behaviors in controlled laboratory environments and natural social settings, finding significant differences. This discrepancy raises questions about the realism and external validity of laboratory-based studies, suggesting that real-world factors may influence donating behavior in ways that are not captured in experimental setups.

2.5. Economic education and altruism

An interesting facet of altruistic behavior relates to the influence of academic discipline on social preferences. The study "Selection or Indoctrination: Why Do Economics Students Donate Less" investigates why students majoring in economics are less likely to donate compared to their peers in other fields. It proposes that the selection effect (students predisposed to less altruistic behavior opting for economics) and the indoctrination effect (the curriculum fostering more self-interested norms) both contribute to this phenomenon.

3. Methodology

The methodology of this study was designed to empirically investigate the impact of emotional arousal on donating behavior by creating two distinct experimental conditions—high and low emotional stimulation. The experimental design involved manipulating the emotional content of scenarios presented to participants to assess how varying levels of emotional engagement influence their intention to donate and the actual donation amounts. To conduct the study, a questionnaire was developed and distributed to participants immediately after they were exposed to either a high or low emotional arousal scenario. The scenarios were crafted to ensure clarity and consistency in the level of emotional content. High emotional arousal scenarios included detailed narratives that depicted urgent, distressing situations requiring immediate help, such as disaster relief or medical emergencies. Low emotional arousal scenarios, on the other hand, described less urgent, more neutral situations, like routine fundraising activities by well-known charities.

Participants were randomly assigned to one of these conditions to avoid any bias that might influence the outcomes. Upon completing the scenario exposure, participants filled out the questionnaire, which collected data on their immediate emotional reactions, their stated intention to donate, and the actual amount they chose to donate during the experiment. This approach allowed for a direct comparison of the effect of emotional arousal on donating behavior. Additionally, to ensure the reliability and validity of the results, the study controlled for variables such as age, gender, income level, and educational background of the participants. These demographic factors were recorded to later analyze whether and how they might influence donating behavior independently of or in interaction with emotional arousal.

The questionnaire also included questions designed to measure participants' general attitudes towards charity and altruism, to better understand the underlying motivations for their donation decisions. This comprehensive approach enabled a nuanced analysis of how different levels of emotional arousal can affect the propensity to engage in altruistic behavior, thereby providing deeper insights into the psychological mechanisms driving charitable donations.

DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/2024.24995

4. Results

The results of the study provided insightful data on how high and low emotional arousal influenced donating behavior among participants. The demographic profile of the participants included a slightly higher percentage of women (57.14%) than men (42.86%), with a significant proportion of the subjects being between the ages of 21 and 30. Most participants had completed senior middle school, and a sizable number reported monthly incomes ranging from Y5,000 to Y10,000, which offered a diverse basis for analyzing donating behaviors across different socioeconomic statuses. Analysis of the emotional reactions revealed that participants exposed to high emotional arousal scenarios showed a significantly greater willingness to donate compared to those in the low arousal conditions. Moreover, the amount of money donated was also noticeably higher in the high arousal group. These findings clearly demonstrated that emotional stimulation plays a crucial role in motivating individuals to engage in prosocial financial behaviors, supporting the hypothesis that higher emotional engagement leads to more generous donations.

However, the study also noted interesting anomalies. Not all participants in the high emotional arousal group chose to donate, and some cited reasons such as financial limitations and mistrust of charities as their main deterrents. This indicates that while emotional arousal can enhance the willingness to donate, actual donation decisions are also influenced by personal and contextual factors that could override emotional impulses. In terms of altruistic attitudes, the data showed a strong tendency towards helping others, with a significant majority of respondents expressing that they often care about the well-being of others even if it does not directly benefit them. This was particularly evident in responses to the questionnaire items about helping strangers and sacrificing personal time and resources for others, which received high levels of agreement.

5. Discussion

The findings from the study underscore the significant impact of emotional arousal on donating behavior, which aligns with and expands upon previous research in behavioral economics and psychology. This study confirms that high emotional arousal not only increases the intention to donate but also the actual amounts given, highlighting the potent role of emotions in altruistic behavior. The heightened emotional response elicited by the high arousal scenarios suggests that donors are more likely to engage and contribute when they feel emotionally moved by the circumstances of those in need. However, the variability in responses, especially among participants who experienced high emotional arousal but chose not to donate, points to the complexity of donating decisions. Financial constraints and mistrust in charities were notable factors that hindered some participants from donating despite strong emotional reactions. This aspect of the findings illuminates the challenges that charities face in converting empathetic arousal into actual donations, underscoring the need for them to build trust and demonstrate transparency to potential donors.

The discrepancies noted between laboratory-based emotional arousal and real-world donating behavior also point to potential limitations in experimental settings. The findings suggest that while laboratory experiments are useful for understanding basic psychological processes, they may not fully capture the complexities of real-world behavior where multiple social and environmental factors intersect. Finally, the study highlights the importance of further research to explore other variables that could influence donating behavior, such as cultural factors, personal values like religious beliefs, and broader social responsibilities. Understanding these elements could help nonprofits tailor their approaches to fundraising, making them more effective by aligning their strategies with the values and concerns of their potential donor base.

DOI: 10.54254/2753-7048/2024.24995

6. Conclusion

This study explored the impact of varying levels of emotional arousal on individuals' donation behaviours, focusing on both their willingness to donate and the actual amounts contributed. By conducting controlled experiments that manipulated emotional stimuli through narratives and imagery, the research revealed that higher emotional arousal significantly increased both the intention to donate and the actual donation amounts. These findings underscore the critical role emotions play in charitable giving and contribute to a deeper understanding of the psychological drivers behind prosocial behaviour. The implications of this study are twofold: theoretically, it advances existing behavioural economics frameworks by incorporating emotional factors into the analysis of charitable donations; practically, it offers valuable insights for optimizing fundraising strategies. Specifically, organizations can leverage emotional appeals more effectively by targeting the right emotional triggers to encourage higher donation rates.

Further research is essential to deepen understanding of how emotional arousal influences donating behaviours, particularly through the interaction with demographic factors like income, education, and cultural background. Such studies could elucidate differential responses to emotional appeals, aiding in the development of targeted fundraising strategies. Additionally, investigating the long-term impact of these appeals on donor retention and the likelihood of repeat donations would provide insights crucial for building sustainable donor relationships. Expanding research to include diverse emotional triggers, such as personal storytelling and community-based campaigns, could also uncover new methods to effectively engage donors. Overall, these directions would refine the theoretical and practical knowledge of the mechanisms driving charitable giving.

References

[1] Stutzer, A., Goette, L., & Zehnder, M. (2011). Active decisions and prosocial behaviour: a field experiment on blood donation. The Economic Journal, 121(556), F476-F493.
[2] Eckel, C. C., & Grossman, P. J. (1996). Altruism in anonymous dictator games. Games and economic behavior, 16(2), 181-191.
[3] Benz, M., & Meier, S. (2008). Do people behave in experiments as in the field?—evidence from donations. Experimental economics, 11, 268-281.
[4] Bauman, Y., & Rose, E. (2011). Selection or indoctrination: Why do economics students donate less than the rest?. Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization, 79(3), 318-327.
[5] Yann Bramoullé; Rachel E. Kranton（2024）.Altruism networks and economic relations [J]