

The Impact of Moral Choices in Games on Players' Moral Values

Ziqiang Liu

The University of New South Wales, Sydney, Australia
Ziqiangliu223@gmail.com

Abstract: Over the last few decades, games have increasingly incorporated morality as a pivotal narrative and interactive factor, which empowers players to make the most challenging dilemmas concerning ethics. The study analyzes the psychological process of the moral decisions that take place in-game and looks at the relationship between virtual deeds and real-life moral standing. The research should answer two fundamental questions: whether moral decisions are made while playing games and how they affect the players' judgments and actions outside the gaming context. Through a mixed-methods approach, the players are subjected to moral dilemma experiments in different game scenarios, their opinions on similar real-life situations are surveyed and discussed after their narrations. The results show that, players often undertake moral choices that are very much internalized and manifested in virtual realities, producing a desirable ultimate outcome of self-examination and empathy in users, which can be transformed into real moral awareness. Moreover, the research shows that games can effectively contribute to the formation of moral values and contemplation of moral dilemmas.

Keywords: Moral choices, game psychology, moral value

1. Introduction

Moral questions in video games can no longer be ignored owing to the growing significance they play in the genre of interactive storytelling. By creating scenarios with moral conflicts as the center of the game, the *Mass Effect and Detroit: Become Human* titles compel players to explore these complex issues and make reflections. This makes questioning ethics the main idea of the players. Such a platform gives us a unique space for research that looks at how people deal with moral issues in the simulated circumstances and if this has any implications for their real-life ethics.

The game philosophy, which derives from moral psychology and game studies, leads to two questions: Should the morality of the world of games produce real-world players' moral judgments? In what respect do players refer to the decisions that they have made in the game and their beliefs outside the game? Through a mixed-methods approach covering experiments, surveys and interviews, this study investigates the psychological factors that are relevant to players' moral decision-making in video games, and also looks into how this can affect players' attitudes and behaviors after the game, which offers some references for the application study of psychology in games.

2. Relevant theories and definitions

2.1. Kohlberg's moral development theory

The understanding of ethical dilemmas is facilitated through traditional Kohlbergian moral levels, ranging from pre-conventional to post-conventional stages. Moreover, the pre-conventional level is based on self-interest, while the post-conventional approaches universal philosophical principles. Thus, gamers are obliged to undergo moral conflicts while facing similar options that challenge their mental capacity. They incorporate weighing gains and advantages against larger societal responsibilities [1].

Consequently, the ideas of right and wrong focus on the narrative of *Mass Effect* as it spreads along the continuum of moral choices. It ranges from altruistic to self-serving actions and aligns with Kohlberg's principles. Video games are not just played, they are lived—players experience decisions as if they are their own, which makes ethical reflection more personal and impactful [1]. Therefore, it not only imposes valuable story information but also requires the player to introspect the morality of their previous actions [2].

The research of Sicart 2009 [3] showed that practicing ethics through games in such virtual environments should entail multiple formal and almost unruly realizations of ethical reflexivity. The implication for the players on moral principles starts during and after the game when the study reflects that students receive a positive impetus to act ethically; that is, they get to practice the implications of standing on morality.

2.2. Moral experimentation in virtual environments

Ultimately, the virtual environments offer distinct possibilities for moral trial and error that would be much more demanding or even impossible in the real world. Among these is the chance to traverse the blurry lines of what is good and what is evil in a setting devoid of tangible penalties. Thus, other video games, like *The Sims* or *Papers*, allow players to act out complex interpersonal dynamics or take on morally ambiguous roles such as immigration officers.

The connection of traditional moral psychology with in-game behaviors and an emphasis on the further experimental potential of games as interactive hives of moral reflection is the topic of this chapter. Games are not moral agents themselves, but they are spaces where morality can be performed, rehearsed, and sometimes even challenged. Sicart 2009 [3] uses these opportunities to give us insights into players' motivations and what they are ready to undergo for their goals and to create a more nuanced reading of ethics.

Barlett and Anderson argue that [4] some scenarios that involve moral controversies or lead to difficult choices could provide critical examination and porosity of these boundaries. They allow players to immerse themselves in situations that might never occur in their daily lives.

3. Moral choice mechanisms in games

3.1. Game design approaches to moral choice

Games stimulate moral thinking by requiring us to choose between transforming the fictional world differently. For example, developers may: (1) Create Good versus Evil Metrics: For instance, *Mass Effect* [5] uses systems, such as *Paragon and Renegade* to understand moral alignment. This tool also offers instant feedback on the choices gamers make. (2) Develop Branching Narratives: Stories with consequential, complex, and often tragic narrative structures, such as *The Witcher*, allow their players to be the authors of their own destinies. Each singular choice determines the chain of events characterizing the plot. (3) Create Ambiguous Decisions: In games, such as *Detroit: Become Human*,

the choices that players make are not as straightforward. This aspect challenges the players to have a sense of values. Such systems will help to diversify the gameplay and put the player in a good mood after the brunt of a bad day at work. Also, the procedural rhetoric of games, as discussed by Bogost 2007 [6], can guide individuals facing the cruel world in making judgments for a better society.

3.2. Player decision-making in ethical dilemmas

Research has shown how factors in moral decisions depend on the players, such as compassion, cultural setting, or value system. For instance, one may adopt a hostile approach to in-game dilemmas depending on their attitude in prior gaming experiences. These can be very hostile details, which are overlooked in many games, including *Earthbound*. There is a character named Dr. Andonuts, who infects Ness with a parasite. He then leaves him in the care of a woman who has dementia. Society doesn't really care for such damned issues.

This segment pertains to the extent of decision-making being shaped by various factors within the constraints set up by game design. Weaver and Lewis point out that in-game choices made by players are a constant elaboration of the moral systems acting upon their minds.

4. Impact of virtual moral choices on real-world morality

4.1. Behavioral and attitudinal changes

There is a possibility that playing games exposing oneself to moral scenarios indirectly affects the ethical level of the affected players. Games can support ethical reasoning not by teaching specific moral values, but by allowing players to confront difficult situations and reflect on their decisions [7]. The ones who were constantly on the lookout for empathy may have a heightened capacity to do so in unfamiliar settings. *Life is Strange* sets a good precedent in creating empathy for marginalized groups.

This paper highlights some technical analyses and anecdotes that link the virtual moral behavior to real-life situations carried out by different players who talked back on their in-game decisions. The work of Zagal demonstrates [7] how misguided ethics can be an instrument for transformation and the shaping of behavior.

4.2. Empathy development through gameplay

A game, such as *Life is Strange* or *Papers, Please* really grabs the players. The main aim of these games is to immerse the player in emotionally charged stories that create empathy from the viewers. Hence, they experience firsthand a given problem, such as immigration or depression, enriching the characters along a broad spectrum of social issues. In this subsection, the focus is on how these movies assist learners in gaining diverse views of the world and penetrating the world better through emotional comprehension. There is repeated concise support from research done by both Barlett and Anderson 2013 [8] that not only shows the development of empathy but also the addition of perspective-taking to empathy.

4.3. Habit formation and moral reflection

Although in-game ethical decision scenarios are provided on a temporary basis, questions remain on their duration beyond the game. This aspect of the article looks at whether the player is provided with ongoing opportunities to reflect morally in daily life activities. For instance, some players report that choices, including decision-making in *The Walking Dead*, were facilitated by their real-world conversations.

Barlett et al. [8] write that via repetition and positive reinforcement of ethical matters, games may affect the establishment of long-term habits as games have varying impacts on game participants' health.

5. Insights for game design and ethical engagement

5.1. Enhancing moral complexity

Developers should spice up the moral happenings by bringing in those that are not clearly good or bad. A case study from *The Last of Us Part II* looks into a hypothetical scenario where the projected narrative contains many moral complexities beyond mere choice. GTA V is not just about shooting and killing; there's also respect, revenge, and success. It forces users to question each step of the process and understand how their decisions might orchestrate someone's detour of their pristine path.

Schrier and Gibson see the possibility of using multiple moral situations to develop more solid moral principles in the players. This brings out their role as tools for achieving this elaborated state of morality.

5.2. Fostering player reflection

Interactive post-game elements, such as debriefing sessions or dialogue trees, may provoke players to critically approach and evaluate prior moral actions. Games may contain tools like surveys, augmented replay modes, or additional modes that may be hooked up to deep reflection.

5.3. Games as tools for moral education

This segment of the discussion focuses on the possible uses of video games in school systems for the focus of ethics teaching. Case studies show that this has, for instance, been realized in classrooms to discuss issues like justice, empathy, and similar topics in social responsibility. A perennial example is *Papers, Please*, which is utilized to analyze moral scenarios like Goldberg's. Schrier and Gibson indicate that video games can and will be an excellent means of discussing ethics in an engaging and fun manner.

6. Challenges and future directions

6.1. Cultural context and moral diversity

The cross-cultural differences devised from different societies could be moral choices based on societal norms and values. A good example is that of good acts in society. A poor person under African society's jurisdiction gets helped by someone else, and that is altruism.

Therefore, a supposed effect might be that, while some characters developed under diverse backgrounds get to speak and perform morally troublesome actions, others exchange. Research should explore the cultural diversity among youth while addressing the issue of in-game choice-making with regard to real implications.

6.2. Longitudinal studies on moral impact

Cognitive psychologists may be interested in studying whether the temporal extension of moral games leads to long-lasting effects on those playing them. This section highlights the methodology pitfalls and the gains from it to get such studies and track the time on change for moral reasoning among players.

6.3. Expanding the scope of moral choices in games

By the use of virtual reality and artificial intelligence, there is hope of giving players an opportunity to experience very personalized and unique moral environments. This subsection touches on the positive on the horizon of moral tools in gaming. This includes adaptive systems that will respond to player actions and the use of scripted executions.

7. Conclusion

To conclude, moral implications of choices in video games contribute significantly to the real-life ethical development of the gamers. The findings demonstrate that virtual situations with moral dilemmas can lead to moral awareness and behavioral change in players, which applies to real life beyond the games. Gamers who encounter moral conundrums in games exhibit augmented ethical awareness and moral sensitivity, as well as displays of prosocial action, such as altruism outside the game setting. Gaming can ignite thought processes parallel to real-life moral strategies, as morally evocative gameplay has been found to activate similar brain regions associated with empathy and moral decision-making. Video games are much more than simple amusement; they become places of active reflection and growth of morality, on which a player can try and see the synthetical consequences of their actions and think about their own value system.

Virtual Reality (VR), in terms of an unprecedented immersion, grips the players' presence in the storyline much more intensely, thus enhancing the emotional effect of their in-game moral choices. High "being there" feelings can elevate ethical dilemmas to a visceral level, which, in turn, can lead to greater empathy and appropriate sensitivity among gamers. Also, another technology used for a similar purpose is Augmented Reality (AR), by appending ethical predicaments to the actual environment, AR experiences can afford much more palpable and urgent lessons about morality. AI-powered amusement offers a whole new possibility for building personalized, transporting experiences, whereby choice leads to a varied array of real-life simulation effects along with gradually evolving complexity. Overall, the synthesis of advanced game design and new technologies sets the stage for video games as a very influential means of ethical education and self-improvement. Through explicitly proving the moral choices in games have real-life consequences, both values and actions, both in and out of the game, this article debunks the perception of video games as being mere tedious distractions. Expanding the purview, however, it underscores the need for these media as moral contemplative platforms rather than bereft amusements. Developers and educators persist in exploiting plot depth, immersive technology, and AI-driven engagement, demonstrating that video games now hold the possibility of imparting empathy, critical thinking about ethics, and setting up a moral center in players. In a nutshell, well-thought-out interactive digital experiences can form serious moral discussions and personal maturing, thus indicating that entertainment media make their share in ethical construction in society.

References

- [1] Gee, J. P. (2007). *What Video Games Have to Teach Us About Learning and Literacy*. Palgrave Macmillan.
- [2] Kohlberg, L. (1981). *Essays on Moral Development: Vol. 1. The Philosophy of Moral Development*. Harper & Row.
- [3] Bogost, I. (2007). *Persuasive Games: The Expressive Power of Videogames*. MIT Press.
- [4] Sicart, M. (2009). *The Ethics of Computer Games*. MIT Press.
- [5] Boggiano, A. K., Barrett, M. (1991). Moral Development in Context: Research on the Social Influences on Moral Reasoning. *Advances in Psychology*, 80, 289–309.
- [6] Gee, J. P. (2003). Video Games and Embodiment. *Games and Culture*, 8(3), 180–192.
- [7] Zagal, J. P. (2009). Ethical Reasoning and Reflection as Supported by Videogames. *International Journal of Gaming and Computer-Mediated Simulations*, 1(2), 1–23.

- [8] Barlett, C. P., Anderson, C. A. (2013). *Examining Media Effects on Moral Judgment and Behavior. Media Psychology Review*, 2(1), 1–30.